

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Worry, Valerie

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1332-9S1

CRN: 10407

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6

ENROLLMENT: 6

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	1	5	0	0	0	4.17	4.31	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	0	6	0	0	0	4.00	4.22	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	1	4	1	0	0	4.00	4.10	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	3	2	1	0	0	4.33	4.14	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	2	4	0	0	0	4.33	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	0	4	1	0	0	3.80	4.13	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.35	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.27	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	2	4	0	0	0	4.33	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	1	4	1	0	0	4.00	4.12	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	1	3	2	0	0	3.83	4.22	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	1	4	1	0	0	4.00	4.27	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	2	4	0	0	0	4.33	4.35	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.22	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	1	4	1	0	0	4.00	4.42	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	3	2	1	0	0	4.33	4.37	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	1	5	0	0	0	4.17	4.35	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	1	2	2	0	0	3.80	4.04	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.08	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	1	1	3	1	0	3.33	4.12	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.08	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						82.2%	84.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Worry, Valerie

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1332-3A1

CRN: 10408

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 23

ENROLLMENT: 27

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	14	5	3	0	0	4.50	4.31	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	14	6	3	0	0	4.48	4.22	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	12	8	2	1	0	4.35	4.10	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	13	5	4	1	0	4.30	4.14	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	13	6	4	0	0	4.39	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	14	5	3	1	0	4.39	4.13	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	14	5	3	1	0	4.39	4.35	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	15	5	3	0	0	4.52	4.43	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	15	4	4	0	0	4.48	4.27	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	15	5	3	0	0	4.52	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	14	5	2	2	0	4.35	4.12	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	13	5	4	1	0	4.30	4.22	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	14	5	4	0	0	4.43	4.27	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	14	7	2	0	0	4.52	4.35	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	14	7	2	0	0	4.52	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	15	4	3	1	0	4.43	4.22	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	15	5	3	0	0	4.52	4.42	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	15	4	4	0	0	4.48	4.37	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	15	4	4	0	0	4.48	4.35	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	13	5	4	1	0	4.30	4.04	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	13	5	3	1	1	4.22	4.08	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	14	6	2	0	1	4.39	4.12	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	12	6	4	1	0	4.26	4.08	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						88.2%	84.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Industrial Processes-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Worry, Valerie

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1354-3B1

CRN: 10419

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 7

ENROLLMENT: 11

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	4	0	0	0	4.43	4.31	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	2	5	0	0	0	4.29	4.22	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	2	4	0	1	0	4.00	4.10	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	3	4	0	0	0	4.43	4.14	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	3	4	0	0	0	4.43	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	3	0	0	0	4.57	4.13	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.35	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.43	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.27	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.12	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.22	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.27	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	3	0	0	0	4.57	4.35	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	3	0	0	0	4.57	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.22	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.42	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.37	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.35	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	3	0	0	0	4.57	4.04	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	3	0	0	0	4.57	4.08	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.12	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	2	1	0	0	4.43	4.08	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						92%	84.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Safety, Health, and Environment I-

INSTRUCTOR: Worry, Valerie

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1408-2B1

CRN: 10424

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 25

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	2	3	0	0	4.00	4.31	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	1	1	2	0	3.88	4.22	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	5	0	1	0	2	3.75	4.10	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	4	1	1	0	2	3.63	4.14	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	3	1	1	2	1	3.38	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	3	1	1	1	2	3.25	4.13	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	5	0	2	1	0	4.13	4.35	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	5	0	2	1	0	4.13	4.43	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	2	2	2	1	1	3.38	4.27	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	1	1	0	1	4.00	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	3	0	3	1	1	3.38	4.12	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	5	0	3	0	0	4.25	4.22	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	4	1	1	1	1	3.75	4.27	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	1	1	1	1	3.75	4.35	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	0	3	0	1	3.75	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	1	2	1	0	4.00	4.22	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	0	1	0	1	4.14	4.42	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	5	0	2	0	1	4.00	4.37	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	4	1	2	1	0	4.00	4.35	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	3	0	2	1	2	3.13	4.04	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	2	1	1	1	3	2.75	4.08	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	4	0	1	2	1	3.50	4.12	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	3	1	2	0	2	3.38	4.08	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						74%	84.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	3	2	2	0	1	3.75	3.69	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.25
25	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.00	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.30
26	3	1	2	1	1	3.50	3.69	4.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34
27	5	1	1	0	1	4.13	4.15	4.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.44
28	3	2	1	2	0	3.75	3.69	4.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
29	3	3	1	0	1	3.88	3.77	4.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement



# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Principles of Quality-

INSTRUCTOR: Worry, Valerie

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2314-2A1

CRN: 10437

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5 ENROLLMENT: 23

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	0	1	1	0	4.00	4.31	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	3	0	1	0	1	3.80	4.22	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	2	1	1	1	0	3.80	4.10	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.14	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.13	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	3	0	1	0	1	3.80	4.35	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	2	1	1	1	0	3.80	4.43	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.27	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.12	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.22	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.27	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.35	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.22	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.42	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.37	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.35	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	2	1	1	1	0	3.80	4.04	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.08	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.12	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	3	1	0	0	1	4.00	4.08	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						77.2%	84.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	2	0	2	1	0	3.60	3.69	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.25
25	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.00	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.30
26	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	3.69	4.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34
27	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.15	4.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.44
28	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	3.69	4.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
29	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	3.77	4.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

**CRN:** 10408**SNS:** PTAC 1332-3A1**Instructor:** Worry, Valerie**Course Title:** Process Instrumentation I-MW**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

REALLY INTERESTING IN CLASS, MRS WORRY. REALLY WANTS YOU TO UNDERSTAND THE INFORMATION AND NOT JUST MEMORIZE IT. I TRY TO TAKE HER EVERY SEMESTER, BECAUSE I LEARN SO MUCH.

---

TEST ARE NOT SIMILAR TO STUDY MATERIALS.

---

GREAT TEACHER!

---

GREAT TEACHER & GREAT PERSON, THUMBS UP!

**CRN:** 10419**SNS:** PTAC 1354-3B1**Instructor:** Worry, Valerie**Course Title:** Industrial Processes-TR**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

MAKE ALL TEST MULTIPLE CHOICE PLEASE :)

CRN: 10424

SNS: PTAC 1408-2B1

Instructor: Worry, Valerie

Course Title: Safety, Health, and Environment I-

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: URL/Email

---

**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

Assignments were very hard to find, lots of error codes when trying to pull up work. Gradebook shows assignments that were due in 2014 so they did not show up on discussions or assignments but still received a zero. Had to email the professor multiple times because I kept receiving zeros for work that was not posted.

---

do not say that were going to have a test over a specific chapters and don't give the test over those specific chapters

---

I will never take an online course with this instructor again. it was the most frustrating course I've ever taken. the course is unorganized with assignments showing up from previous semesters, some going as far back as 2014 and are inaccessible and makes submissions confusing. Essay instructions are copy and pasted so the due date will be incorrect because they were instructions for a different assignment. tests don't cover the chapters covered for the week. they sometimes seemed like the exact same test as previous tests with modified questions. I studied the chapters for the test and found that the test material was completely different. they would mainly be previous chapters with some current chapter information sprinkled in here and there. Instructor was not helpful at all and didn't make an effort to provide the help that my in class instructors have been more than happy to provide. I reached out because I had issues with the tests and asked if she could provide study guides, something an instructor should be able to help their students with and she simply replied that she doesn't do that. no help whatsoever. this course just seemed like she had come up with a class that required very little involvement with her. the fact that instructions are copied and pasted from previous semesters is proof to me that she doesn't care. very disappointed and feel like money wasted.

---

Tests don't have anything to do with the 4 chapters its supposed to go over. Kinda all over the place.

**CRN:** 10437**SNS:** PTAC 2314-2A1**Instructor:** Worry, Valerie**Course Title:** Principles of Quality-**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

Best Teacher at LIT! Really cares about her student's future and career's. ++++++

---

I didn't like how the course syllabus was just a "guideline" for the course but not correct with due dates. When presenting a question about a test answer that was marked wrong but clearly was in the book word for word, the argument that was given made no sense according to the information in the book. The online due dates in blackboard are from 2014? The course needs to be updated.

## Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Worry, Valerie

C-1 = Effective Communication  
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter  
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students  
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading  
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice  
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class  
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned  
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating  
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	PTAC 1332-9S1	Paper	6	6	4.02	4.23	3.83	4.22	86.6%	76.6%	86.6%	83.4%	80.0%
TECH	PTAC 1332-3A1	Paper	27	23	4.40	4.43	4.47	4.33	87.8%	88.6%	89.7%	89.7%	85.2%
TECH	PTAC 1354-3B1	Paper	11	7	4.60	4.60	4.64	4.67	88.6%	94.2%	94.2%	94.2%	88.6%
TECH	PTAC 1408-2B1	URL/Email	25	8	3.73	3.58	3.77	3.69	67.6%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	67.6%
TECH	PTAC 2314-2A1	URL/Email	23	5	3.77	3.77	4.00	3.85	72.0%	68.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.19	4.20	4.23	4.17	82.8%	84.4%	87.4%	87.0%	81.7%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------