

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Gas Tungsten Arc (GTAW)

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1434-6A1

CRN: 10263

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 15

ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	11	4	0	0	0	4.73	4.76	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	12	3	0	0	0	4.80	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	11	4	0	0	0	4.73	4.76	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	11	2	2	0	0	4.60	4.64	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	12	3	0	0	0	4.80	4.76	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	12	2	1	0	0	4.73	4.78	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	11	3	1	0	0	4.67	4.71	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	12	3	0	0	0	4.80	4.75	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	10	4	1	0	0	4.60	4.65	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	12	2	1	0	0	4.73	4.64	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	9	5	0	0	1	4.40	4.60	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	11	1	3	0	0	4.53	4.55	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	9	4	2	0	0	4.47	4.49	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	5	2	0	0	4.40	4.47	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	12	3	0	0	0	4.80	4.69	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	9	5	1	0	0	4.53	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.78	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	12	3	0	0	0	4.80	4.67	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	10	5	0	0	0	4.67	4.67	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	11	4	0	0	0	4.73	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	8	3	3	0	0	4.36	4.50	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	8	6	1	0	0	4.47	4.65	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						93.2%	93.4%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Welding Metallurgy-TR

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1437-3A1

CRN: 10264

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14

ENROLLMENT: 16

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.76	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.76	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.64	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.76	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.78	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.71	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	13	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.75	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.65	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.64	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.60	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	10	2	2	0	0	4.57	4.55	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.49	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.47	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.78	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.67	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.67	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	11	2	1	0	0	4.71	4.50	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.65	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.6%	93.4%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Pipe Welding-TR

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2406-6A1

CRN: 10266

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10

ENROLLMENT: 12

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.76	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.76	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.64	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.76	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.78	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.71	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.75	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.65	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.64	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.60	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.55	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.49	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.47	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.69	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.78	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.67	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.67	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.50	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.65	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94%	93.4%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Proc

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2413-6A1

CRN: 10268

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10

ENROLLMENT: 12

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.76	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.64	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.76	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.78	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.71	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.75	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.65	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.64	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.60	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.55	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	5	1	0	0	4.30	4.49	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	4	2	0	0	4.20	4.47	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.69	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	6	4	0	0	0	4.60	4.78	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.67	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.67	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.50	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						90.8%	93.4%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW)-MW

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2447-6A1

CRN: 10271

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 3

ENROLLMENT: 4

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.64	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.78	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.71	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.75	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.64	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.60	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.55	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.49	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.47	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.69	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.78	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.67	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.67	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.50	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						93.4%	93.4%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Pipe Welding-TR

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2453-6A1

CRN: 10272

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 3

ENROLLMENT: 4

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.64	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.78	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.71	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.75	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.64	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.60	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.55	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.49	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.47	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.69	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.78	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.67	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.67	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.50	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						93.4%	93.4%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: 10263**SNS:** WLDG 1434-6A1**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Introduction to Gas Tungsten Arc (GTAW)**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

GREAT TEACHER OVERALL THAT HAS AMPLE EXPERIENCE IN THE FIELD WE ARE GETTING READY TO ENTER.

TEACH MORE TIG THAN MIG

LAB TIME FOR TIG SHOULD START EARLIER. TIG OVER MIG/FLUX FOR CERTIFICATE COURSE

TEACH MORE TIG THAN MIG AND FLUX

THE INSTRUCTOR IS VERY HELPFUL WITH THE ASSIGNMENTS GIVEN TO US.

OUTSTANDING PROGRAM, INSTRUCTOR WAS ARTICULATE IN EXPLAINING WELDING THEORY AND EXTREMELY HELPFUL IN HANDS-ON TRAINING.

YOU'RE A PRETTY CHILL DUDE!

INSTRUCTOR HAD SPURTS OF RUDENESS DUE TO NOT LIKING ME BUT WAS TREATED FAIRLY DURING CLASS ASSIGNMENTS. PERSONAL OPINION BOOTHS COULD USE BETTER LIGHTING FOR SEEING.

TACO TRUCK/MORE THAN 20 MIN BREAK IN LAB

CRN: 10264**SNS:** WLDG 1437-3A1**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Introduction to Welding Metallurgy-TR**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

LESS LECTURE MORE LAB TIME

GREAT!

CRN: 10266**SNS:** WLDG 2406-6A1**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Intermediate Pipe Welding-TR**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

BOP!

I HAVE LEARNED SO MUCH MORE THIS SEMESTER. MY WELDS WERE NOT SO GOOD. THEN HE EXPLAINED HOW TO DO IT
INSTEAD OF TELLING ME JUST TO DO IT AGAIN WITHOUT DIRECTION.

GREAT INSTRUCTOR.

CRN: 10268**SNS:** WLDG 2413-6A1**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Proc**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

AFTER I PASS THE PIPE TEST I HOPE AND PRAY TO GOD I GET A GOOD JOB

LESS MIG MORE TIG

VERY GOOD TEACHER

CRN: 10271**SNS:** WLDG 2447-6A1**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Advanced Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW)-MW**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

NEED BETTER LIGHTING IN WELDING BOOTHS

CRN: 10272**SNS:** WLDG 2453-6A1**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Advanced Pipe Welding-TR**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

NEED BETTER LIGHTING IN WELDING BOOTHS

BETTER LIGHTING IN BOOTHS

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = McAnally, Richard

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	WLDG 1434-6A1	Paper	18	15	4.72	4.81	4.44	4.64	96.0%	96.0%	98.6%	96.0%	89.4%
TECH	WLDG 1437-3A1	Paper	16	14	4.80	4.76	4.61	4.74	95.8%	94.2%	95.8%	92.8%	95.8%
TECH	WLDG 2406-6A1	Paper	12	10	4.76	4.74	4.55	4.70	96.0%	94.0%	96.0%	94.0%	94.0%
TECH	WLDG 2413-6A1	Paper	12	10	4.61	4.64	4.30	4.50	94.0%	90.0%	92.0%	90.0%	93.4%
TECH	WLDG 2447-6A1	Paper	4	3	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	93.4%	93.4%	93.4%	93.4%	93.4%
TECH	WLDG 2453-6A1	Paper	4	3	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	93.4%	93.4%	93.4%	93.4%	93.4%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.72	4.74	4.50	4.65	95.2%	93.9%	95.7%	93.4%	93.0%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------