Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: General Psychology-MWF
Instructor: Garza, Andrew
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PSYC 2301-3A1 CRN: 10131 delivery method: Paper

SEMESTER \& YEAR: $\qquad$
Spring 2019

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8 ENROLLMENT: 10

DELIVERY METHOD: \begin{tabular}{l}
Paper \\

| AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ... |
| :--- | :--- |

\end{tabular}.

| STATEMENT | FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES |  |  |  |  | THIS SECTION | ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL COURSES } \\ & \text { THIS } \\ & \text { DEPARTMENT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL COURSES } \\ & \text { THIS } \\ & \text { DEPT-PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL COURSES } \\ & \text { THIS } \\ & \text { DEPT-ONLINE } \end{aligned}$ | collegeWIDE PAPER | $\begin{gathered} \text { COLLEGE- } \\ \text { WIDE } \\ \text { ONLINE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.38 | 4.10 | 4.45 | 4.48 | 4.30 | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | 4.19 | 4.49 | 4.51 | 4.36 | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 3.98 | 4.30 | 4.34 | 4.10 | 4.56 | 4.35 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3.88 | 3.98 | 4.12 | 4.15 | 3.94 | 4.49 | 4.27 |
| 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 4.44 | 4.47 | 4.29 | 4.61 | 4.43 |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3.75 | 3.71 | 4.39 | 4.42 | 4.24 | 4.59 | 4.39 |
| 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.88 | 4.06 | 4.44 | 4.45 | 4.34 | 4.62 | 4.45 |
| 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.63 | 4.60 | 4.64 | 4.68 | 4.40 | 4.74 | 4.49 |
| 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.46 | 4.56 | 4.60 | 4.31 | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.60 | 4.65 | 4.35 | 4.71 | 4.47 |
| 11 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3.57 | 4.10 | 4.45 | 4.49 | 4.25 | 4.60 | 4.37 |
| 12 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 4.02 | 4.47 | 4.51 | 4.26 | 4.65 | 4.41 |
| 13 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.35 | 4.49 | 4.53 | 4.29 | 4.64 | 4.43 |
| 14 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.52 | 4.55 | 4.37 | 4.65 | 4.48 |
| 15 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 3.96 | 4.46 | 4.51 | 4.23 | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 16 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.29 | 3.84 | 4.51 | 4.55 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.45 |
| 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.51 | 4.33 | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 18 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.29 | 4.54 | 4.59 | 4.24 | 4.69 | 4.40 |
| 19 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3.63 | 4.12 | 4.43 | 4.46 | 4.29 | 4.63 | 4.41 |
| 20 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3.50 | 3.81 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.08 | 4.56 | 4.27 |
| 21 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3.38 | 3.79 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.24 | 4.62 | 4.40 |
| 22 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3.75 | 3.98 | 4.42 | 4.47 | 4.20 | 4.61 | 4.35 |
| 23 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.38 | 4.02 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.26 | 4.62 | 4.46 |
|  | Overall Column Average (in percentage \%) |  |  |  |  | 81.6\% | 82\% | 89\% | 89.8\% | 85.2\% | 92.6\% | 88\% |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, $2=$ Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, $4=$ Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: General Psychology-TR
instructor: Garza, Andrew
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PSYC 2301-3B1 CRN: 10208 department: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 16 ENROLLMENT: 26


SEMESTER \& YEAR: $\qquad$
Spring 2019

| AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THIS SECTION | ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR | ALL COURSES <br> THIS DEPARTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL COURSES } \\ & \text { THIS } \\ & \text { DEPT-PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE | $\begin{gathered} \text { COLLEGE- } \\ \text { WIDE } \\ \text { PAPER } \end{gathered}$ | collegeWIDE ONLINE |
| 3.94 | 4.10 | 4.45 | 4.48 | 4.30 | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| 4.19 | 4.19 | 4.49 | 4.51 | 4.36 | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 3.94 | 3.98 | 4.30 | 4.34 | 4.10 | 4.56 | 4.35 |
| 4.07 | 3.98 | 4.12 | 4.15 | 3.94 | 4.49 | 4.27 |
| 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.44 | 4.47 | 4.29 | 4.61 | 4.43 |
| 3.44 | 3.71 | 4.39 | 4.42 | 4.24 | 4.59 | 4.39 |
| 3.94 | 4.06 | 4.44 | 4.45 | 4.34 | 4.62 | 4.45 |
| 4.69 | 4.60 | 4.64 | 4.68 | 4.40 | 4.74 | 4.49 |
| 4.81 | 4.46 | 4.56 | 4.60 | 4.31 | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 4.69 | 4.56 | 4.60 | 4.65 | 4.35 | 4.71 | 4.47 |
| 4.13 | 4.10 | 4.45 | 4.49 | 4.25 | 4.60 | 4.37 |
| 4.06 | 4.02 | 4.47 | 4.51 | 4.26 | 4.65 | 4.41 |
| 4.56 | 4.35 | 4.49 | 4.53 | 4.29 | 4.64 | 4.43 |
| 4.81 | 4.42 | 4.52 | 4.55 | 4.37 | 4.65 | 4.48 |
| 3.88 | 3.96 | 4.46 | 4.51 | 4.23 | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 3.69 | 3.84 | 4.51 | 4.55 | 4.32 | 4.65 | 4.45 |
| 4.75 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.51 | 4.33 | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 4.63 | 4.29 | 4.54 | 4.59 | 4.24 | 4.69 | 4.40 |
| 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.43 | 4.46 | 4.29 | 4.63 | 4.41 |
| 4.00 | 3.81 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.08 | 4.56 | 4.27 |
| 3.63 | 3.79 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.24 | 4.62 | 4.40 |
| 4.31 | 3.98 | 4.42 | 4.47 | 4.20 | 4.61 | 4.35 |
| 4.13 | 4.02 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.26 | 4.62 | 4.46 |
| 84\% | 82\% | 89\% | 89.8\% | 85.2\% | 92.6\% | 88\% |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, $2=$ Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, $4=$ Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: General Psychology-TR
instructor: Garza, Andrew
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PSYC 2301-3C1 CRN: 10211 delivery method: Paper

SEMESTER \& YEAR: $\qquad$
Spring 2019

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8 ENROLLMENT: 16

AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ..


## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, $2=$ Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, $4=$ Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: General Psychology-
Instructor: Garza, Andrew
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PSYC 2301-2A1 CRN: 10459 department: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9 ENROLLMENT: 27 -

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email
$\qquad$
AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR .


## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, $2=$ Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, $4=$ Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: Learning Framework-
instructor: Garza, Andrew
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: EDUC 1100-2A1 CRN: $\qquad$ 10460 department: General Education and Developmental Studies
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5 ENROLLMENT: 20
 $\qquad$
URL/Email
SEMESTER \& YEAR: $\qquad$ Spring 2019


## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, $2=$ Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, $4=$ Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: General Psychology-
Instructor: Garza, Andrew
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PSYC 2301-2B1 CRN: 10680 department: General Education and Developmental Studies
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6 ENROLLMENT: 16 $\qquad$ DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email
SEMESTER \& YEAR: $\qquad$ Spring 2019


## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, $2=$ Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, $4=$ Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10459
SNS: PSYC 2301-2A1
Course Title: General Psychology-
comments or Suggestions:
I thought this class would be fun and interesting, but the instructor made it very difficult for that to happen. I have taken online classes for 4 years and never had an instructor demand that we log in everyday or every other day. There is way too much assigned for each chapter and there is youtube videos that I thought would help me understand the material, but they are a waste of time. The instructor also changed a due date for an assignment that was due on a monday, to sunday, and I would of been fine with that, but he sent the message out saturday at 6 pm . That is not enough time for students to see the announcement. I have not learned anything in this class and I am literally trying to hurry up and get through it so that I can be done.

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10680
SNS: PSYC 2301-2B1
Course Title: General Psychology-
Course Title: General Psychology-

| Instructor: | Garza, Andrew |
| ---: | :--- |
| Dept: | General Education and Developm |
| Delivery Method: | URL/Email |

Comments or Suggestions:
Miscommunication which led to a semester I had not planned. Not very lenient but did give makeup time nearing the end of the semester. If I could go back to when I registered, I probably would not chose him again.
he changed a submission due date just to prove about how he wanted us to submit sunday instead of monday for our assignments, we all nearly missed three assignments thanks to a 24 hour period right before spring break, but only through email, not blackboard

Only comment I have is that I struggled taking his test because it was a minute per question. I was too busy worried about the time than the questions.

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

| CRN: | 10131 | SNS: PSYC 2301-3A1 | Instructor: | Garza, Andrew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course Title: | General Psychology-MWF |  | Dept: General Education and Developm Delivery Method: Paper |  |
| Comments or Suggestions: |  |  |  |  |
| THE TIMED OBJECTIVE TEST IS STRESSFUL TO M |  |  |  |  |
| NONE : ) |  |  |  |  |
| GREAT PROFESSOR AWESOME LECTURES SHOWS INTEREST IN STUDENTS. I HATE THE CRITICAL THINKING PAPERS DUE EVERY WEEK. MAYBE BLOCK A FEW CHAPTERS TOGETHER EVERY COUPLE WEEKS AND THEN WRITE A PAPER. |  |  |  |  |
| I ENTERED THIS COURSE WITH A DISLIKE OF THE SUBJECT. HOWEVER, THE PROFESSOR DOES MAKE THIS CLASS BEARABLE, AND I AM LEARNING CONCEPTS THAT WILL HELP ME IN MY FUTURE. MOST OF MY COMPLAINTS ARE NOT ABOUT HIM, BUT THE COURSE MATERIALS, IN WHICH HE HAS LITTLE CONTROL OVER. THE COURSE COULD USE IMPROVEMENT, BUT I'D RANK THE PROFESSOR 9/10 FOR HIS DETAIL IN TEACHING AND HIS EFFICIENCY IN DOING SO. |  |  |  |  |
| GOOD TEACHER! WISH TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE DONE A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY. OVERALL I AM LEARNING IN THIS COURSE. |  |  |  |  |


| CRN: | 10208 | SNS: PSYC 2301-3B1 | Instructor: |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Course Title: | General Psychology-TR | Dept: Andrew |  |
| Comeneral Education and Developm |  |  |  |
| Cor Suggestions: |  | Delivery Method: Paper |  |

HE ISN'T BAD BUT HE JUST NEED A LITTLE MORE IMPROVEMEMENT.
THIS INSTRUCTOR SHOULD ALLOW STUDENTS MORE TIME FOR TESTS \& QUIZZES! HOMEWORK IS TOO MUCH!
I HAVE ENJOYED TAKING THIS CLASS. IN THIS CLASS I HAVE LEARNED THE DEEPER MEANING TO WHY THINGS ARE THE WAY THEY ARE. MR GARZA HAS BEEN VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE OF ALL CHAPTERS WE HAVE DISCUSSED SO FAR.

HE IS VERY INTELLIGENT IN THIS SUBJECT, BUT THE ASSIGNMENTS ASSIGNED ARE VERY DIFFICULT, \& WHEN YOU ASK QUESTIONS HE DOESN'T NECESSARILY ANSWER THEM. ALSO HE IS VERY STRICT ON ABSENCES BUT MY KID WAS VERY SICK THIS SEMESTER AND HE STILL TOLD ME HE WAS DROPPING MY GRADE 2 LETTERS. I HAD DOCTOR NOTES \& HOSPITAL DISCHARGE PAPERS.

WISH FOR MORE FEED BACK FOR IMPROVEMENT ON PERFORMANCE AND CRITICAL ANALYSIS EVEN THOUGH HE WANTS FOR US TO BE INTELLECTUAL AUTOMONOUS.
VERY AGGRESSIVE
GARZA IS A NICE TEACHER. HE IS VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE AND ENCOURAGES STUDENTS TO BE RESPONSIBLE AND GET THEIR WORK DONE ON TIME. HE IS FAIR AND RATIONAL AS FAR AS THE TESTS GO. THEY ARE TIMED A MINUTE PER QUESTION. IF STUDENTS HAD MORE TIME, IT MIGHT HELP THEM BE LESS ANXIOUS ABOUT TEST TAKING. JUST A THOUGHT. OVERALL HE IS A GREAT TEACHER WHO MAKES CLASS INTERESTING AND ENJOYABLE. A LOT OF LAUGHS IN THE CLASS. KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK.
GREAT PROFESSOR JUST THAT SOMETIMES MAKES ONE FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE OR SOMEWHAT LESS OF ONE
SHOULD ALLOW MORE TIME ON TESTS ONLY 1 MIN PER QUESTION ISN'T ENOUGH. HAVING AN ANALYSIS DUE EVERY WEEK IS EXCESSIVE.

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10211
Course Title: General Psychology-TR
SNS: PSYC 2301-3C1

| Instructor: | Garza, Andrew |
| ---: | :--- |
| Dept: | General Education and Developm |
| Delivery Method: | Paper |

Delivery Method: Paper
Comments or Suggestions:
THE CRITICAL THINKING ANALYSIS COULD HAVE BEEN BETTER EXPLAINED PROFESSOR GARZA IS ALWAYS A PREPARED AND KNOWLEDGEABLE TEACHER. DO NOT SHOW UP TO CLASS UNLESS YOU ARE PREPARED.

|  | Construct Averages <br> All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester <br> Instructor = Garza, Andrew |  |  |  |  | C-1 = Effective Communication <br> C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter <br> C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students <br> C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading <br> Q5 = Text \& Materials Consistent |  |  |  | Q16 = Available for Help and Advice <br> Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class <br> Q19 = Tests \& Papers Returned <br> Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating <br> Note: $4.00=80 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Course Number | Method | Out | Back | C-1 | C-2 | C-4 | C-5 | Q-5 | Q-16 | Q-18 | Q-19 | Q-23 |
| GEDS | PSYC 2301-3A1 | Paper | 10 | 8 | 3.96 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 3.75 | 80.0\% | 85.8\% | 90.0\% | 72.6\% | 87.6\% |
| GEDS | PSYC 2301-3B1 | Paper | 26 | 16 | 3.80 | 4.39 | 4.39 | 3.96 | 83.9\% | 73.8\% | 92.6\% | 83.9\% | 82.6\% |
| GEDS | PSYC 2301-3C1 | Paper | 16 | 8 | 4.42 | 4.80 | 4.72 | 4.58 | 95.0\% | 85.0\% | 97.6\% | 92.6\% | 90.0\% |
| GEDS | PSYC 2301-2A1 | URL/Email | 27 | 9 | 3.91 | 3.87 | 4.07 | 3.72 | 75.6\% | 80.0\% | 75.6\% | 77.8\% | 75.0\% |
| GEDS | EDUC 1100-2A1 | URL/Email | 20 | 5 | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.24 | 4.40 | 80.0\% | 76.0\% | 76.0\% | 92.0\% | 80.0\% |
| GEDS | PSYC 2301-2B1 | URL/Email | 16 | 6 | 3.39 | 3.64 | 3.27 | 3.54 | 76.6\% | 60.0\% | 70.0\% | 76.6\% | 60.0\% |

