Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Layout and Fabrication-M **INSTRUCTOR:** Bingham, Jason

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1417-6A1   **CRN:** 10260   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5   **ENROLLMENT:** 6   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.85	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.79	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.92	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.79	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.77	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.85	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						95.8%	97%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TECH_ Reports   TECH_BinghamJason_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

TECH_ Reports   TECH_BinghamJason_ R

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Welding Metallurgy-TR **INSTRUCTOR:** Bingham, Jason

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1437-3B1 **CRN:** 10265 **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10 **ENROLLMENT:** 13 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.85	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.79	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	7	2	0	1	0	4.50	4.79	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.87	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	10	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.79	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.84	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.77	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.87	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.87	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.85	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.85	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						96%	97%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Proc   **INSTRUCTOR:** Bingham, Jason

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2413-6B1   **CRN:** 10269   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6   **ENROLLMENT:** 7   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.85	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.79	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.79	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.82	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.92	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.79	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.85	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.85	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					95.8%	97%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Proc

INSTRUCTOR: Bingham, Jason

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2413-6C1   **CRN:** 10270   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11   **ENROLLMENT:** 11   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.79	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.79	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	10	0	1	0	0	4.82	4.82	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.87	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.92	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.87	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.87	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.79	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.84	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	1	2	0	0	4.55	4.77	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	8	2	1	0	0	4.64	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.87	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.87	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.85	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.85	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						96.8%	97%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Pipe Welding-TR **INSTRUCTOR:** Bingham, Jason

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2453-6B1 **CRN:** 10273 **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4 **ENROLLMENT:** 5 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	97%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TECH_ Reports   TECH_BinghamJason_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Shielded Metal Arc Welding **INSTRUCTOR:** Bingham, Jason

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1457-6B1   **CRN:** 10275   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 3   **ENROLLMENT:** 4   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	97%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

TECH_ Reports   TECH_BinghamJason_ R

CRN: 10260   **SNS:** WLDG 1417-6A1   **Instructor:** Bingham, Jason

Course Title: Introduction to Layout and Fabrication-M

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

DRAWING OF LADY

YOU CAN TELL HE CARES!

ERASE IT AND DRAW IT AGAIN.

CRN: 10265   **SNS:** WLDG 1437-3B1   **Instructor:** Bingham, Jason

Course Title: Introduction to Welding Metallurgy-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

NEED LIGHTS IN LAB

MORE LIGHTING OUTSIDE IN THE SHOP WHERE WE GRIND

CRN: 10275   **SNS:** WLDG 1457-6B1   **Instructor:** Bingham, Jason

Course Title: Intermediate Shielded Metal Arc Welding

Dept: Technology
Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

VERY GOOD! :)

CRN: 10269   **SNS:** WLDG 2413-6B1   **Instructor:**   Bingham, Jason

Course Title: Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Proc

                                                                    Dept: Technology
                                                            Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

NEED MORE LIGHTING IN THE OUTSIDE BACK WHERE WE GRIND.

NEED MORE LIGHT

CRN: 10270   **SNS:** WLDG 2413-6C1   **Instructor:** Bingham, Jason

Course Title: Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Proc

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

BETTER LIGHTING IN BOOTHS.

YOU NEED A TACO TRUCK NEAR THE WELDING CLASS.

NEED MORE LIGHTS AND AC.

Construct Averages
All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor =   Bingham, Jason

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	WLDG 1417-6A1	Paper	6	5	4.84	4.76	4.80	4.80	92.0%	96.0%	96.0%	96.0%	96.0%
TECH	WLDG 1437-3B1	Paper	13	10	4.76	4.82	4.82	4.80	94.0%	96.0%	98.1%	96.0%	96.0%
TECH	WLDG 2413-6B1	Paper	7	6	4.77	4.77	4.83	4.83	93.4%	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%
TECH	WLDG 2413-6C1	Paper	11	11	4.84	4.89	4.68	4.94	98.2%	92.8%	96.4%	100.0%	96.4%
TECH	WLDG 2453-6B1	Paper	5	4	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	WLDG 1457-6B1	Paper	4	3	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor	4.84	4.86	4.81	4.88	95.8%	95.8%	97.4%	98.1%	97.0%

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%