Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Unit Operations-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CTEC 2545-6A1   **CRN:** 10397   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11   **ENROLLMENT:** 20   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.78	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.77	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.72	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.77	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	10	0	1	0	0	4.82	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.80	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.72	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.70	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.77	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.75	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.80	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.80	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.75	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.73	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	10	0	1	0	0	4.82	4.77	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.78	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	10	0	1	0	0	4.82	4.77	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.70	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.88	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					97.4%	95.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Unit Operations-MW **INSTRUCTOR:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CTEC 2545-6C1 **CRN:** 10399 **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 13 **ENROLLMENT:** 19 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.78	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	12	0	1	0	0	4.85	4.72	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.72	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.70	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.75	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.75	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.73	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.78	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.70	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						98.8%	95.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
    objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
     reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
     of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Process Technology-   **INSTRUCTOR:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1302-2A1   **CRN:** 10404   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6   **ENROLLMENT:** 23   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.78	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.72	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.72	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.70	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.75	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.75	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.73	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.78	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.70	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.88	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						96.6%	95.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.53	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.25
25	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.60	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.30
26	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.67	4.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34
27	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.67	4.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.44
28	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.60	4.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
29	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.67	4.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45

TECH_ Reports   TECH_WilliamsParkerTiffany_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Process Technology-   **INSTRUCTOR:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1302-2B1   **CRN:** 10405   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9   **ENROLLMENT:** 23   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.78	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.72	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.77	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	5	0	0	0	4.44	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.80	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.72	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	3	5	1	0	0	4.22	4.70	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	2	2	0	0	4.33	4.75	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	4.80	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	6	1	2	0	0	4.44	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	6	1	2	0	0	4.44	4.80	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	6	1	2	0	0	4.44	4.75	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	4.73	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	7	1	1	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	4.78	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	2	2	0	0	4.33	4.70	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.88	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					91%	95.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	4	4	1	0	0	4.33	4.53	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.25
25	4	5	0	0	0	4.44	4.60	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.30
26	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.67	4.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34
27	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	4.67	4.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.44
28	5	3	1	0	0	4.44	4.60	4.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
29	5	4	0	0	0	4.56	4.67	4.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Technology II - Systems-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2420-3A1   **CRN:** 10438   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 21   **ENROLLMENT:** 31   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.78	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	14	6	1	0	0	4.62	4.77	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	15	4	2	0	0	4.62	4.72	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.80	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	13	6	2	0	0	4.52	4.72	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	15	4	2	0	0	4.62	4.70	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	15	4	2	0	0	4.62	4.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.75	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.80	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	17	3	1	0	0	4.76	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	17	3	1	0	0	4.76	4.80	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.75	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	15	3	3	0	0	4.57	4.73	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	17	3	1	0	0	4.76	4.78	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	15	4	2	0	0	4.62	4.70	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	16	4	0	0	0	4.80	4.88	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						93.6%	95.2%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: 10397   **SNS:** CTEC 2545-6A1   **Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

Course Title: Unit Operations-MW

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

WILLING TO HELP ALL HER STUDENTS. GREAT INSTRUCTOR.

I HAVE HAD MRS PARKER AS AN INSTRUCTOR FOR SEVERAL PROCESS CLASSES. SHE IS A GREAT INSTRUCTOR IN MY OPINION. SHE WORKED WITH HER STUDENTS. ENSURE THEY HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT IS BEING TAUGHT. I HIGHLY RECOMMEND HER AS AN INSTRUCTOR TO OTHER STUDENTS.

CRN: 10399   **SNS:** CTEC 2545-6C1   **Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

Course Title: Unit Operations-MW

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT TEACHER!

BEST TEACHER EVER!!

I LEARN A LOT AND GREATEST TEACHER EVER!

VERY GREAT TEACHER.

NEEDS RAISE FOR HER JOB

SHE IS A VERY GOOD INSTRUCTOR, IN MY MIND THE BEST. VERY ENCOURAGING AND SUCH A WONDERFUL INSTRUCTOR. DESERVES A RAISE.

CRN: 10405   **SNS:** PTAC 1302-2B1   **Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

Course Title: Introduction to Process Technology-

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: URL/Email

Comments or Suggestions:

She is an excellent and amazing Instructor. Always ready, willing and available to assist students on any issue. You are a huge blessing to the educational sector. Thank you.

CRN: 10438   **SNS:** PTAC 2420-3A1   **Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany

Course Title: Process Technology II - Systems-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

REALLY COOL TEACHER

AMAZING INSTRUCTOR

MY FAVORITE TEACHER :)

GREAT INSTRUCTOR!

KEEP UP THE GREAT WORK

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages
All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor =   **Williams-Parker, Tiffany**

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	CTEC 2545-6A1	Paper	20	11	4.87	4.89	4.89	4.85	96.4%	98.2%	98.2%	96.4%	98.2%
TECH	CTEC 2545-6C1	Paper	19	13	4.94	4.92	4.96	4.92	98.4%	100.0%	98.4%	98.4%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 1302-2A1	URL/Email	23	6	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.79	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%
TECH	PTAC 1302-2B1	URL/Email	23	9	4.56	4.57	4.44	4.61	88.9%	88.9%	91.2%	93.4%	97.8%
TECH	PTAC 2420-3A1	Paper	31	21	4.73	4.61	4.70	4.67	94.2%	95.2%	91.4%	93.4%	96.0%
Average All Course Sections for This Instructor					4.78	4.74	4.76	4.75	95.0%	96.0%	94.7%	95.4%	97.6%

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%