Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: Physics of Instrumentation-TR
instructor: Champagne, Steve

| SUBJEC |  |  |  |  |  | CRN: 10383 | DEPAR | MENT: Tech | nology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER COMPLE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUE } \\ & \text { FOF } \end{aligned}$ | RI | 0 |  | 16 | DELIV | Y METH | aper |  | SEMESTER \& | AR: Sp | g 2019 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ERAGE SCORE | FOR THIS STA | EMENT FOR ... |  |  |
| STATE- |  | U |  |  |  | THIS SECTION | ALL COURSES THIS | ALL COURSES THIS | ALL COURSES THIS | ALL COURSES THIS | COLLEGEWIDE | COLLEGEWIDE |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | INSTRUCTOR | DEPARTMENT | DEPT-PAPER | DEPT-ONLINE | PAPER | ONLINE |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.48 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| 2 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.51 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.35 |
| 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.80 | 4.50 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.49 | 4.27 |
| 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.53 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.43 |
| 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.58 |  |  | 4.59 | 4.39 |
| 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.48 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.45 |
| 8 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.90 | 4.74 | 4.71 |  |  | 4.74 | 4.49 |
| 9 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.64 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.71 | 4.47 |
| 11 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.46 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.60 | 4.37 |
| 12 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.52 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.41 |
| 13 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.64 | 4.43 |
| 14 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.80 | 4.61 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.48 |
| 15 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.59 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 16 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.63 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.45 |
| 17 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.61 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 18 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.52 | 4.65 |  |  | 4.69 | 4.40 |
| 19 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.46 | 4.63 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.41 |
| 20 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.47 | 4.53 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.27 |
| 21 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 4.53 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.40 |
| 22 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.70 | 4.59 | 4.56 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.35 |
| 23 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.55 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.46 |
|  |  | Col | rag | ce |  | 93.2\% | 90.8\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92.6\% | 88\% |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

## RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: Principles of Industrial Measurements I-
instructor: Champagne, Steve
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: INTC 1301-3B1
CRN:
10388
delivery method: Paper
SEMESTER \& YEAR:
Spring 2019
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: $\qquad$ ENROLLMENT:
37

| AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THIS SECTION | ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR | ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT | all courses THIS DEPT-PAPER | ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLLEGE- } \\ & \text { WIDE } \\ & \text { PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLLEGE- } \\ & \text { WIDE } \\ & \text { ONLINE } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4.59 | 4.48 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| 4.55 | 4.51 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 4.59 | 4.50 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.35 |
| 4.55 | 4.50 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.49 | 4.27 |
| 4.62 | 4.53 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.43 |
| 4.55 | 4.50 | 4.58 |  |  | 4.59 | 4.39 |
| 4.59 | 4.48 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.45 |
| 4.69 | 4.74 | 4.71 |  |  | 4.74 | 4.49 |
| 4.59 | 4.51 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 4.69 | 4.64 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.71 | 4.47 |
| 4.62 | 4.46 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.60 | 4.37 |
| 4.66 | 4.52 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.41 |
| 4.66 | 4.60 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.64 | 4.43 |
| 4.66 | 4.61 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.48 |
| 4.66 | 4.59 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 4.72 | 4.63 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.45 |
| 4.69 | 4.61 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 4.69 | 4.52 | 4.65 |  |  | 4.69 | 4.40 |
| 4.59 | 4.46 | 4.63 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.41 |
| 4.59 | 4.47 | 4.53 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.27 |
| 4.62 | 4.53 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.40 |
| 4.66 | 4.59 | 4.56 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.35 |
| 4.66 | 4.55 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.46 |
| 92.6\% | 90.8\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92.6\% | 88\% |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

## RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: Principles of Industrial Measurements I-
instructor: Champagne, Steve
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: INTC 1301-3C1 CRN: 10389 DEPARTMENT: Technology

| NUMBER COMPLE | QUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AIRE } \\ & \text { ECTI } \end{aligned}$ | 35 |  |  | DELIV | Y METHOD: | aper |  | SEMESTER \& | AR: Sp | ng 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ERAGE SCORE | FOR THIS STA | EMENT FOR ... |  |  |
| STATE- |  | Q |  |  |  | THIS SECTION | ALL COURSES THIS | ALL COURSES THIS | ALL COURSES THIS | ALL COURSES THIS | COLLEGEWIDE | COLLEGEWIDE |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | INSTRUCTOR | DEPARTMENT | DEPT-PAPER | DEPT-ONLINE | PAPER | ONLINE |
| 1 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.51 | 4.48 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| 2 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.57 | 4.51 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 3 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.35 |
| 4 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.49 | 4.27 |
| 5 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.57 | 4.53 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.43 |
| 6 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 | 4.50 | 4.58 |  |  | 4.59 | 4.39 |
| 7 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 | 4.48 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.45 |
| 8 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.80 | 4.74 | 4.71 |  |  | 4.74 | 4.49 |
| 9 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4.49 | 4.51 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 10 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.69 | 4.64 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.71 | 4.47 |
| 11 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.60 | 4.37 |
| 12 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 | 4.52 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.41 |
| 13 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.63 | 4.60 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.64 | 4.43 |
| 14 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.61 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.48 |
| 15 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.69 | 4.59 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 16 | 23 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 4.63 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.45 |
| 17 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.61 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 18 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.49 | 4.52 | 4.65 |  |  | 4.69 | 4.40 |
| 19 | 18 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.43 | 4.46 | 4.63 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.41 |
| 20 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 | 4.47 | 4.53 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.27 |
| 21 | 22 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.60 | 4.53 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.40 |
| 22 | 24 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 4.59 | 4.56 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.35 |
| 23 | 24 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4.57 | 4.55 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.46 |
|  |  | Olu | rag | ce |  | 91.6\% | 90.8\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92.6\% | 88\% |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

## RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course \& Instructor
course title: Programmable Logic Controllers-MW
instructor: Champagne, Steve
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: RBTC 1401-6B1 CRN: 10395 DEPARTMENT: Technology
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: $18 \quad$ ENROLLMENT: 19

delivery method: Paper
SEMESTER \& YEAR: $\qquad$ Spring 2019

|  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEMENT | FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES |  |  |  |  | THIS SECTION | all courses THIS instructor | all courses THIS DEPARTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL COURSES } \\ & \text { THIS } \\ & \text { DEPT-PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLLEGE- } \\ & \text { WIDE } \\ & \text { PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLLEGE- } \\ & \text { WIDE } \\ & \text { ONLINE } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.17 | 4.48 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.22 | 4.51 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4.11 | 4.50 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.35 |
| 4 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.49 | 4.27 |
| 5 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4.22 | 4.53 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.43 |
| 6 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.22 | 4.50 | 4.58 |  |  | 4.59 | 4.39 |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4.11 | 4.48 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.45 |
| 8 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.61 | 4.74 | 4.71 |  |  | 4.74 | 4.49 |
| 9 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 4.51 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 10 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 4.64 | 4.64 |  |  | 4.71 | 4.47 |
| 11 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4.17 | 4.46 | 4.54 |  |  | 4.60 | 4.37 |
| 12 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4.22 | 4.52 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.41 |
| 13 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4.39 | 4.60 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.64 | 4.43 |
| 14 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 4.61 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.48 |
| 15 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4.22 | 4.59 | 4.57 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 16 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.39 | 4.63 | 4.61 |  |  | 4.65 | 4.45 |
| 17 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 4.61 | 4.59 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.47 |
| 18 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.65 |  |  | 4.69 | 4.40 |
| 19 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4.17 | 4.46 | 4.63 |  |  | 4.63 | 4.41 |
| 20 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4.06 | 4.47 | 4.53 |  |  | 4.56 | 4.27 |
| 21 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4.28 | 4.53 | 4.60 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.40 |
| 22 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4.28 | 4.59 | 4.56 |  |  | 4.61 | 4.35 |
| 23 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4.33 | 4.55 | 4.62 |  |  | 4.62 | 4.46 |
|  | Overall Column Average (in percentage \%) |  |  |  |  | 85.4\% | 90.8\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92.6\% | 88\% |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear \& reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text/supporting materials consistent with
objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable \& competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully \& fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help \& advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests \& papers within a
reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair \& a reflection
of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

## Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

## NOTE: $4.00=80 \%$

## RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE:

Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average $2=$ Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10383 SNS: INCR 1402-6B1
Course Title: Physics of Instrumentation-TR

| Instructor: | Champagne, Steve |
| ---: | :--- |
| Dept: | Technology |
| Delivery Method: | Paper |

Dept: Technology
Paper
Comments or Suggestions:
GREAT TEACHER
WONDERFUL TEACHER

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10388 SNS: INTC 1301-3B1
Course Title: Principles of Industrial Measurements I-
or Suggestions:
A BADASS.

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10389 SNS: INTC 1301-3C1
Course Title: Principles of Industrial Measurements I-

## Comments or Suggestions:

## BEST TEACHER I'VE HAD

## AWESOME TEACHER. DON'T LET HIM RETIRE.

AMAZING!
AWESOME TEACHER!
GREAT INSTRUCTOR

## Lamar Institute of Technology

Spring 2019

CRN: 10395
SNS: RBTC 1401-6B1
Course Title: Programmable Logic Controllers-MW

解
MR CULP IS A GREAT ADDITION TO THIS COURSE!

|  | Construct Averages <br> All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester <br> Instructor = <br> Champagne, Steve |  |  |  |  | C-1 = Effective Communication <br> C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter <br> C-4 $=$ Positive Attitude Toward Students <br> C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading <br> Q5 = Text \& Materials Consistent |  |  |  | Q16 = Available for Help and Advice <br> Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class <br> Q19 = Tests \& Papers Returned <br> Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating <br> Note: $4.00=80 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Course Number | Method | Out | Back | C-1 | C-2 | C-4 | C-5 | Q-5 | Q-16 | Q-18 | Q-19 | Q-23 |
| TECH | INCR 1402-6B1 | Paper | 16 | 10 | 4.64 | 4.58 | 4.72 | 4.60 | 94.0\% | 94.0\% | 90.0\% | 94.0\% | 92.0\% |
| TECH | INTC 1301-3B1 | Paper | 37 | 29 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.66 | 4.62 | 92.4\% | 94.4\% | 93.9\% | 91.8\% | 93.2\% |
| TECH | INTC 1301-3C1 | Paper | 39 | 35 | 4.56 | 4.51 | 4.64 | 4.52 | 91.4\% | 93.2\% | 89.8\% | 88.6\% | 91.4\% |
| TECH | RBTC 1401-6B1 | Paper | 19 | 18 | 4.19 | 4.28 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 84.4\% | 87.8\% | 86.6\% | 83.4\% | 86.6\% |

