

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Unit Operations-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CTEC 2545-6B1

CRN: 10398

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11

ENROLLMENT: 16

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	7	1	0	0	4.18	4.09	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	2	8	1	0	0	4.09	4.06	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	7	0	0	0	4.36	4.17	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	4	6	1	0	0	4.27	4.00	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	3	8	0	0	0	4.27	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	6	1	0	0	4.27	3.91	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	3	8	0	0	0	4.27	4.03	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	5	6	0	0	0	4.45	4.31	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	5	5	1	0	0	4.36	4.09	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	5	6	0	0	0	4.45	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	3	5	3	0	0	4.00	3.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	6	4	1	0	0	4.45	4.29	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	6	5	0	0	0	4.55	4.37	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	7	4	0	0	0	4.64	4.31	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	5	6	0	0	0	4.45	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	6	0	0	0	4.45	4.09	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	7	4	0	0	0	4.64	4.15	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	6	5	0	0	0	4.55	4.17	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	5	6	0	0	0	4.45	4.18	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	3	7	1	0	0	4.18	3.91	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	5	5	1	0	0	4.36	4.09	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	4	2	0	0	4.27	4.15	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	3	7	1	0	0	4.18	3.94	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						87.2%	83%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I-W

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1332-9I1

CRN: 10406

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6

ENROLLMENT: 6

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	1	1	4	0	0	3.50	4.09	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.06	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	1	1	4	0	0	3.50	4.17	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	0	2	3	1	0	3.17	4.00	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	0	2	4	0	0	3.33	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	0	1	5	0	0	3.17	3.91	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	1	1	3	1	0	3.33	4.03	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	3	0	3	0	0	4.00	4.31	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	1	1	3	1	0	3.33	4.09	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	1	1	3	1	0	3.33	3.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	2	0	4	0	0	3.67	4.29	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.37	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.31	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	0	2	3	1	0	3.17	4.09	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	0	3	3	0	0	3.50	4.15	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	2	0	3	0	1	3.33	4.17	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.18	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	1	1	3	1	0	3.33	3.91	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	1	0	5	0	0	3.33	4.09	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.15	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	1	1	2	2	0	3.17	3.94	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						70.2%	83%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Industrial Processes-

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1354-2A1

CRN: 10418

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 30

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	5	2	0	1	0	4.38	4.09	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	5	2	0	0	1	4.25	4.06	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	5	2	0	1	0	4.38	4.17	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	5	2	1	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	2	0	0	2	3.75	3.91	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	2	1	1	0	4.13	4.03	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	4	2	1	1	0	4.13	4.31	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	4	2	0	1	0	4.29	4.09	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	5	2	1	0	0	4.50	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	5	1	0	2	0	4.13	3.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	6	1	1	0	0	4.63	4.29	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	6	1	0	1	0	4.50	4.37	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	5	2	0	1	0	4.38	4.31	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	1	2	0	1	3.88	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	2	0	0	1	4.25	4.09	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	2	1	0	0	4.50	4.15	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	5	1	1	1	0	4.25	4.17	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	4	0	2	0	1	3.86	4.18	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	3	0	0	1	4.13	3.91	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	6	1	0	1	0	4.50	4.09	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	4	3	0	0	1	4.13	4.15	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	5	1	0	1	1	4.00	3.94	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						85%	83%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	4	3	0	0	1	4.13	4.33	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.25
25	4	3	0	0	1	4.13	4.42	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.30
26	4	2	1	1	0	4.13	4.42	4.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34
27	5	2	0	0	1	4.25	4.50	4.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.44
28	4	3	0	0	1	4.13	4.42	4.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
29	4	3	0	0	1	4.13	4.42	4.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Safety, Health, and Environment I-

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1408-2A1

CRN: 10423

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4

ENROLLMENT: 21

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.09	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.06	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.17	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.00	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	3.91	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.03	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.31	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.09	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.29	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.37	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.31	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.09	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.15	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.17	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.18	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.91	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.09	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.15	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.94	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						99.4%	83%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.33	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.25
25	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.30
26	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34
27	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.50	4.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.44
28	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
29	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement



# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Safety, Health, and Environment I-W

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1408-9I1

CRN: 10425

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6

ENROLLMENT: 6

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	1	2	2	1	0	3.50	4.09	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	1	2	2	1	0	3.50	4.06	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.17	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	1	1	3	0	1	3.17	4.00	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	1	3	2	0	0	3.83	4.14	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	2	0	4	0	0	3.67	3.91	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	1	0	4	0	0	3.40	4.03	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	3	1	2	0	0	4.17	4.31	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	2	0	3	1	0	3.50	4.09	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	0	2	0	0	4.33	4.40	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	0	0	4	1	1	2.50	3.77	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	2	0	4	0	0	3.67	4.29	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.37	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.31	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.20	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	2	0	3	1	0	3.50	4.09	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	1	1	2	1	1	3.00	4.15	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	3	0	2	0	1	3.67	4.17	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.18	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	1	1	2	1	1	3.00	3.91	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	1	1	3	0	1	3.17	4.09	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	2	0	2	1	0	3.60	4.15	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	2	1	1	2	0	3.50	3.94	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						71.4%	83%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

**CRN:** 10398**SNS:** CTEC 2545-6B1**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Unit Operations-TR**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

GREAT INSTRUCTOR

**CRN:** 10418**SNS:** PTAC 1354-2A1**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Industrial Processes-**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

Mr Rodriguez is a poor choice nstructor. I have had him for a few courses now and every time it has been a disappointing experience. I am stuck taking courses from him due to lack of options. Were he my subordinate I would be taking a hard look at his performance. The tests do not accurately reflect the material provided. And his test grading rubric is not published. He does not actually teach anything or engage in any sort of interaction with students. Overall I would rate him among the bottom.of instructors I have ever interacted with. He doesn't even respond d in a timely manner at all. Please investigate his performance. I have only gotten less than an A from two other instructors. Those were contemporary math which included mathematic logic which is a subject I struggle with anyway and in chemistry which is taught by Vicki Fruje, who is notorious for he racademic rigor. Overall if I had a choice I wouldn't have taken this course with him, had I another option that fit my schedule. This needs to be an in person class.

## Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Rodriguez, Pablo

C-1 = Effective Communication  
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter  
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students  
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading  
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice  
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class  
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned  
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating  
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	CTEC 2545-6B1	Paper	16	11	4.27	4.25	4.48	4.42	85.4%	89.0%	91.0%	89.0%	83.6%
TECH	PTAC 1332-9I1	Paper	6	6	3.30	3.40	3.79	3.61	66.7%	63.4%	66.7%	76.6%	63.4%
TECH	PTAC 1354-2A1	URL/Email	30	8	4.13	4.24	4.22	4.28	87.6%	85.0%	85.0%	77.2%	80.0%
TECH	PTAC 1408-2A1	URL/Email	21	4	4.96	5.00	5.00	4.94	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.0%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 1408-9I1	Paper	6	6	3.41	3.40	3.86	3.61	76.6%	70.0%	73.4%	80.0%	70.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.03	4.07	4.28	4.21	82.8%	81.8%	83.4%	83.6%	78.8%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------