

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Residential Air Conditioning-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Gaus, Henry

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: HART 1441-6A1

CRN: 10335

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 16

ENROLLMENT: 16

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.71	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	14	2	0	0	0	4.88	4.80	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	9	7	0	0	0	4.56	4.65	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	10	6	0	0	0	4.63	4.69	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.69	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	11	4	1	0	0	4.63	4.71	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.74	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	10	5	1	0	0	4.56	4.67	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.73	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.67	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	10	5	1	0	0	4.56	4.68	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	11	4	1	0	0	4.63	4.69	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.76	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	12	3	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.4%	94.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Gas and Electric Heating-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Gaus, Henry

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: HART 1445-6A1

CRN: 10337

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 16

ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	10	6	0	0	0	4.63	4.71	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.80	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	10	6	0	0	0	4.63	4.65	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.69	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	10	6	0	0	0	4.63	4.69	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.73	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.71	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	11	4	0	0	0	4.73	4.74	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.67	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.73	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.73	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	10	6	0	0	0	4.63	4.67	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
17	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.68	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.69	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	11	5	0	0	0	4.69	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.76	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.82	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.4%	94.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Energy Management-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Gaus, Henry

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: HART 1451-6A1

CRN: 10339

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14

ENROLLMENT: 14

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.71	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.80	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.65	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.73	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.71	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.74	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.67	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.73	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.73	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.67	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.73	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	10	3	0	0	0	4.77	4.68	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.73	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.76	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.82	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						95%	94.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Energy Management-MTWRF

INSTRUCTOR: Gaus, Henry

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: HART 1451-9T1

CRN: 10340

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5

ENROLLMENT: 5

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.71	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.80	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.65	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.69	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.69	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.73	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.71	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.74	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.67	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.73	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.73	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.67	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.80	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
17	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.76	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.68	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.73	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.69	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.69	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.76	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.8%	94.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: 10335**SNS:** HART 1441-6A1**Instructor:** Gaus, Henry**Course Title:** Residential Air Conditioning-MW**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

AWESOME INSTRUCTOR

BEST INST. I HAVE HAD.

MORE HANDS ON LABS

CRN: 10337**SNS:** HART 1445-6A1**Instructor:** Gaus, Henry**Course Title:** Gas and Electric Heating-MW**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

STRONG WILLED

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Gaus, Henry

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	HART 1441-6A1	Paper	16	16	4.69	4.64	4.75	4.75	91.2%	95.0%	91.2%	95.0%	96.0%
TECH	HART 1445-6A1	Paper	18	16	4.69	4.70	4.73	4.71	92.6%	95.0%	93.9%	95.0%	96.2%
TECH	HART 1451-6A1	Paper	14	14	4.71	4.74	4.75	4.71	94.2%	94.2%	95.4%	94.2%	97.2%
TECH	HART 1451-9T1	Paper	5	5	4.72	4.80	4.70	4.60	96.0%	92.0%	96.0%	92.0%	96.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.70	4.70	4.74	4.71	93.0%	94.7%	93.6%	94.7%	96.4%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------