Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Federal Government

INSTRUCTOR: Whiting, Melaney

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: GOVT 2305-3A1

27

CRN: 90336

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

AVEDAGE COODE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:

enrollment: 30

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

						AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR									
STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES 5 4 3 2 1					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE			
4	5	4	3 8	2 5	-	2.70	0.70	4.27	4.07						
1	3	5 5	8	5	6 4	2.78	2.78	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42			
2	3	-	-		4	2.85	2.85	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47			
3	2	2	10	6		2.48	2.48	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33			
4	3	2	10	8	4	2.70	2.70	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19			
5	2	7	4	7	7	2.63	2.63	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42			
6	2	1	7	9	8	2.26	2.26	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35 4.44			
7	3	6	1	11	6	2.59	2.59	4.39	4.40		4.33 4.60				
8	7	10	6	2	2	3.67	3.67	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48			
9	2	2	11	6	6	2.56	2.56	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43			
10	10	9	4	0	4	3.78	3.78	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44			
11	2	2	4	11	8	2.22	2.22	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37			
12	2	2	8	9	6	2.44	2.44	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42			
13	7	8	8	1	3	3.56	3.56	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40			
14	11	6	8	1	1	3.93	3.93	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44			
15	3	5	14	4	1	3.19	3.19	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37			
16	2	4	9	9	3	2.74	2.74	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45			
17	4	3	13	4	3	3.04	3.04	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41			
18	2	5	13	3	4	2.93	2.93	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39			
19	3	1	9	6	8	2.44	2.44	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43			
20	2	1	6	9	9	2.19	2.19	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30			
21	2	2	3	11	9	2.15	2.15	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41			
22	7	6	7	3	4	3.33	3.33	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36			
23	2	1	4	13	7	2,19	2.19	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48			
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)			56.2%	56.2%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%					
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00		0.00			

THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- 5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- 19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology

Fall 2018

Student Comments

CRN:	GOVT 2305-3A1	SNS: 90336	Instructor:	Whiting, Melaney				
Course Title:	Federal Government		Dept: Delivery Method:	General Education and Developm Paper				
Comments or Sugge	estions:		,					
GOOD TEACHER								
SOMEWHAT AS A G IMPTOVED. DISREG LECTURED AND NO	OVERNMENT FORCING US TO V ARDING PEOPLE NEEDING HEL T JUST READING THE COURSE	VORK TOGETHER, BUT THE CONFU P AND CALLING IT BEING SARCAST OBJECTIVES. EVERYTHING ELSE IS	SION WITH COMMUNICATION FTOM T IC IS NOT ONLY RUDE BUT IS ALSO CO PRETTY ALRIGHT.	WITH TEST. I LIKE THE IDEA OF THE CLASS BEING EACHER TO STUDENT NEEDS TO BE GREATLY ONDESCENDING. THE INFO NEEDS TO BE MORE				
I FEEL DR WHITING TO TEACH MATERIA	IS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN HER FI AL. MY 1ST EVALUATION GOES I	ELD, BUT FAILS TO TEACH STUDEN NTO DETAIL. NEED TREMENDOUS I	MPROVEMENT.	PSET THAT WE ARE NOT LEARNING ANYTHING, BUT FAILS				
		EED A LITTLE IMPROVEMENT ON H						
LOST HER TRAIN OF VOICE MY VIEWS AN INSTEAD OF ONE SI	F THOUGHT. MUST IMPROVE TH ND OPINIONS B/C THE PROFES HE MADE UP. TRY NOT TO MAK	IE WAY SHE ADDRESSES STUDENT SOR DISREGARDS AND IGNORES M E STUDENTS FEEL UNCOMFORTAB	S: EVERYONE DOES NOT TAKE WELL Y ANDWER. SHE IS VERY BIASED AND LE AND INCOMPETENT.	MISCELLANEOUS TALKING OFTEN OFF TOPIC B/C SHE'S TO SARCASM. ALSO I'M AFRAID TO SPEAK MY MIND OR O OPINIONATED. CONSIDER USING A STANDARD EXAM				
	EN IT COMES TO HELP							
INEXPERIENCE. I W	OULD NOT SAY SHE'S A BAD TE	ACHER, BUT SHE DEFINITELY NEED	DS SOME IMPROVEMENT!	MATION TO US. I CHALK IT UP TO MOSTLY				
			. MAKES STUDENTS FEEL DUMB FOR	ASKING QUESTIONS.				
UNPREPARED FOR THAT, BUT WHEN W TRAINING ON HOE	THE LECTURE. ALSO, SHE NEE /E ASK HER A QUESTION, SHE (TO TREAT HER STUDENTS WITH	DS TO STOP UNNECESSARY ATTITU BIVES US MEAN ANSWERS IN EXPEC IOUT ATTITUDES.	JDES TOWARDS HER STUDENTS. WE	MANY TIMES DURING LECTURES WHICH MAKES IT LOOK ARE HERE TO LEARN AND WE PAY OUR TUITION FOR T SHOULD CHANGE. OVERALL, SHE NEEDS MORE				
GO BACK TO SCHO								
THE TESTS ARE INS CONVEY THAT INFO	SANELY DIFFICULT. SHE CAN BE TO STUDENTS. MOST DIFFICU	INCREDIBLY RUDE, ESPECIALLY C IT INSTRUCTOR I'VE BEEN AROUNI	VER EMAIL. SHE SEEMS TO KNOW W	HAT SHE'S TALKING ABOUT, BUT CANNOT EFFECTIVELY				
REPLIES TO EMAILS		ARE HARD. BRGAS WITH GROUP IS	HARD TO DO ESPECIALLY SINCE THE	RE ARE WAY TOO MANY QUESTIONS. SHE SAYS IT'S				
THE TEACHER IS RU OVER IT YET.	JDE AT TIMES WHEN I ASK FOR	HELP SHE TELLS ME TO DO IT MYS	ELF AND THAT I SHOULD ALREADY K	NOW THE ANSWER EVEN THOUGH WE HAVEN'T GONE				
A LOT OF THE ASSI BE MET ON CERTAI		R INSTRUCTIONS AND INCLUDE INF	ORMATION THAT IS NOT ON OR IN OL	JR BOOK. SHE IS VERY UNCLEAR ON THE CRITERIA TO				

Lamar Institute of Technology

Fall 2018

Student Comments

CRN: GOVT 2305-3A1		SNS: 90336	Instructor:	Whiting, Melaney						
Course Title: Federal Government			Dept: Delivery Method:	General Education and Developm Paper						
Comments or Suggestions:										
VERY POLITICALLY BIASED. MADE STUDENTS FEEL DUMB WHEN THEY ASKED QUESTIONS.										
TEACHER WAS UNORGANIZED AT TIMES, BUT SHOWED INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT.										

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT ON TESTS.

CE-Vue [®] Construct Averages All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester Instructor = Whiting, Melaney							C-1 = Effective Communication C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent			Q16 = Available for Help and Advice Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating Note: 4.00 = 80%			
Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	GOVT 2305-3A1	Paper	30	27	2.51	2.64	3.50	2.35	52.6%	54.8%	58.7%	48.8%	43.8%

