# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Philosophy

INSTRUCTOR: Mires, Nicholas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PHIL 1301-3A1

15

CRN: 90337

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:

ENROLLMENT: 19 D

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

						AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR										
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	THIS SECTION THIS	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE				
1	13	4	0	0	0	4.87	4.89	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42				
2	13	1	0	0	0	4.87	4.83	4.30	4.37	4.20	4.58	4.47				
3	14	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.89	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33				
4	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.83	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.52	4.33				
5	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.78	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42				
6	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.78	4.30	4.30	4.29	4.57	4.35				
7	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.83	4.30	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.35				
8	13	1	0	0	0	4.87	4.89	4.58	4.40	4.33	4.00	4.48				
9	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.94	4.58	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43				
- 9 - 10	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.69	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43				
10	14	2	0	0	0											
12	13	1	0	0	0	4.87 4.93	4.89 4.94	4.38 4.45	4.41 4.47	4.22 4.32	4.56	4.37 4.42				
12	14	2	0	0	0	4.93	4.94	4.45				4.42				
13	13	2	0	0	0				4.47	4.26	4.63					
14	13	2	1	0	0	4.87	4.89	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44				
16	12	2	0	0	0 0	4.73	4.78 4.89	4.41 4.47	4.43 4.49	4.25 4.34	4.60	4.37 4.45				
10	13	1	0	0	0	<b>4.87</b> 4.93	4.89	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45				
17	14	1	0	0	0 0		4.94				4.61	4.41				
18	14	2	0	0	0	4.93		4.49	4.53	4.25						
20	13	2	0	0	0	4.87 4.87	4.89	4.39	4.40 4.32	4.32	4.61	4.43				
20	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.83 4.72	4.29	4.32	4.14 4.27	4.53	4.30 4.41				
21	13	3	0	0	0	4.87	4.72	4.38 4.37			4.58 4.58					
22	12	2	1	0	0				4.39	4.25 4.31	4.58	4.36 4.48				
23		_	-	n percentag	•	<b>4.69</b> 97.4%	4.69 97.2%	4.40 87.8%	4.41 88.2%	4.51 <b>85.4%</b>	4.09 92%	4.40 88%				
24		1	_	-	1				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
24 25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
25 26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
20	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
27	•	-	•		•	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
28 29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00								
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- 5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- 19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

## RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

#### RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE	TITLE: In	troduction	to Philoso	phy		INSTRUCTOR: Mires, Nicholas										
SUBJECT	, COURSE N	NUMBER, SEC	CTION: PH	IL 1301-3B	51	CRN: 90338	B DEPAR	tment: <u>Gene</u>	ral Educatior	n and Developr	mental Studi	es				
	OF QUESTI	ONNAIRES	3	ENROLLME	NT: <u>5</u>	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2								
							A	/ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	FEMENT FOR						
STATE- FREQUENCY OF RES				SPONSES	6	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS	ALL COURSES THIS	ALL COURSES THIS	ALL COURSES THIS	COLLEGE- WIDE	с				
	5	4	3	2	1		INSTRUCTOR	DEPARTMENT	DEPT-PAPER	DEPT-ONLINE	PAPER					
1	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58					
2	2	0	1	0	0	4.33	4.83	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59					
3	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52					
4	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.83	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41					
5	2	0	1	0	0	4.33	4.78	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57					
6	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.83	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55					
7	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60					
8	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72					
9	2	1	0	0	0	4.67	4.89	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65					
10	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69					
11	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56					
12	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62					
13	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63					
14	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65					
15	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.78	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60					
16	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64					
17	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61					
18	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67					
19	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61					
20	2	1	0	0	0	4 67	4 83	4 29	4.32	4 14	4 53					

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

4.00

5.00

4.67

96.8%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

4.72

4.83

4.69

97.2%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

2

3

2

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

**Overall Column Average (in percentage %)** 

0

0

0

0

0

0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

4.38

4.37

4.40

87.8%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

4.39

4.39

4.41

88.2%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

Fall 2018

COLLEGE-

WIDE

ONLINE

4.42

4.47

4.33 4.19

4.42

4.35 4.44

4.48

4.43

4.44

4.37

4.42 4.40

4.44

4.37

4.45 4.41

4.39

4.43

4.30

4.41

4.36

4.48

88%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

4.53

4.58

4.58

4.59

92%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

4.27

4.25

4.31

85.4%

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- 5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- 19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

## RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

#### RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology

## Fall 2018

## **Student Comments**

CRN:	PHIL 1301-3A1 SNS: 90337		Instructor:	Mires, Nicholas							
Course Title:	Introduction to Philosophy		Dept: Delivery Method:	General Education and Developm Paper							
Comments or Sugge											
HE HAS BEEN A VERY GOOD PROFESSOR!											
AMAZING : )											
VERY FRIENDLY AND HELPS STUDENTS SEEKING HELP											
GOOD TEACHER											
	INSTRUCTOR MAKES CLASS VERY I										

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065 GEDS\_Comments

Lamar Institute of Technology

Fall 2018

CRN:	PHIL 1301-3B1	<b>SNS</b> : 90338	Instructor:	Mires, Nicholas						
Course Title:	Introduction to Philosophy		Dept: Delivery Method:	General Education and Developm Paper						
Comments or Suggestions:										

A FEW QUIZZES HAVE BEEN POSTED BEFORE DISCUSSING A CHAPTER.

# **Construct Averages**

Instructor = Mires, Nicholas

CE-Vue®

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

C-1 = Effective CommunicationQ1C-2 = Organization of Subject MatterQ1C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward StudentsQ1C-5 = Fairness in Exams and GradingQ2Q5 = Text & Materials ConsistentNo

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	PHIL 1301-3A1	Paper	19	15	4.87	4.91	4.82	4.89	97.4%	97.4%	98.6%	97.4%	93.9%
GEDS	PHIL 1301-3B1	Paper	5	3	4.87	4.67	5.00	4.67	86.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.4%

