# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE	TITLE: D	evelopment	al Mathem	atics		INSTRUCTOR: Kees, Arthur									
SUBJECT	, COURSE	NUMBER, SEC	CTION: TM	TH 0374-3	G1	CRN: 90457	DEPAR	tment: <u>Gene</u>	ral Educatior	and Developr	nental Stud	ies			
NUMBER COMPLET	OF QUEST	IONNAIRES	12	ENROLLME	NT: 18	DELIVERY METHOD: Paper SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018									
							A	/ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR					
STATE- MENT		FREQUEN	ICY OF RE	SPONSES	5	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR 4.35 4.27	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT 4.36 4.40	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	THIS	COLLEGE- WIDE	COLLEGE- WIDE			
	5	4	3	2	1				DEFT-FAFER	DEPT-ONLINE	PAPER	ONLINE			
1	8	4	0	0	0	4.67			4.37 4.41 4.24 3.98	4.28 4.35 4.15	4.58 4.59 4.52	4.42			
2	7	5	0	0	0	4.58						4.47			
3	7	3	2	0	0	4.42	4.15	4.23				4.33			
4	6	4	1	1	0	4.25	3.73	3.98		3.94	4.41	4.19			
5	8	3	1	0	0	4.58	4.38	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42			
6	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.31	4.30	4.31 4.40 4.61	4.22	4.55	4.35			
7	8	3	1	0	0	4.58	4.27	4.39		4.33	4.60	4.44			
8	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.19	4.58		4.37	4.72	4.48			
9	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.35	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43			
10	7	5	0	0	0	4.58	4.27	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44			
11	9	2	1	0	0	4.67	4.19	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37			
12	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.58	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42			
13	8	3	1	0	0	4.58	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40			
14	6	6	0	0	0	4.50	4.35	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44			
15	8	3	1	0	0	4.58	4.24	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37			
16	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.54	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64 4.61 4.67 4.61	4.45			
17	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.23	4.40	4.42	4.31		4.41			
18	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.50	4.49	4.53	4.25		4.39 4.43			
19	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.38	4.39	4.40	4.32					
20	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.19	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30			
21	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.27	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41			
22	8	3	1	0	0	4.58	4.19	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36			
23	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.40	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48			
	Ove	erall Column	Average (in	n percentag	ge %)	92.4%	85.8%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

0

0

0

0

0

0

28

29

0

0

0

0

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- 5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- 19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

# RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

#### RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Developmental Mathematics						INSTRUCTOR: Kees, Arthur									
SUBJECT	, COURSE I	NUMBER, SEC	TM: TM	TH 0374-3/	44	CRN: 90619	DEPAR	tment: <u>Gene</u>	ral Educatior	and Developi	nental Stu	dies			
		ONNAIRES	14	ENROLLME	NT: 26	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	Paper	_	SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018					
							A۱	/ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR					
STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS	ALL COURSES THIS	ALL COURSES THIS	ALL COURSES THIS	COLLEGE- WIDE	COLLEGE- WIDE			
	5	4	3	2	1		INSTRUCTOR	DEPARTMENT	DEPT-PAPER	DEPT-ONLINE	PAPER	ONLINE			
1	3	9	2	0	0	4.07	4.35	4.36 4.40	4.37 4.41 4.24	4.28 4.35 4.15	4.58 4.59 4.52	4.42			
2	5	6	1	2	0	4.00	4.27					4.47			
3	4	7	1	2	0	3.93	4.15	4.23				4.33			
4	1	3	9	1	0	3.29	3.73	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19			
5	6	6	1	1	0	4.21	4.38	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42			
6	4	7	2	1	0	4.00	4.31	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35			
7	5	6	1	2	0	4.00	4.27	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44			
8	3	7	2	2	0	3.79	4.19	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48			
9	4	8	1	1	0	4.07	4.35	4.49	4.52 4.57 4.41	4.29	4.65	4.43			
10	4	7	2	1	0	4.00	4.27	4.54		4.32	4.69	4.44			
11	3	7	2	2	0	3.79	4.19	4.38		4.22	4.56	4.37			
12	7	6	0	1	0	4.36	4.58	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42			
13	6	7	0	1	0	4.29	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40			
14	6	6	1	1	0	4.21	4.35	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44			
15	4	5	3	1	0	3.92	4.24	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37			
16	7	6	0	1	0	4.36	4.54	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45			
17	4	5	4	1	0	3.86	4.23	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41			
18	7	6	0	1	0	4.36	4.50	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39			
19	5	7	1	1	0	4.14	4.38	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43			
20	3	7	2	2	0	3.79	4.19	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30			
21	4	6	3	1	0	3.93	4.27 4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41				
22	4	5	4	1	0	3.86	4.19	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36			
23	5	6	1	0	1	4.08	4.40	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48			
	Ove	erall Column	Average (i	n percentag	je %)	80.2%	85.8%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

0

0

0

29

0

0

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- 5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

## THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- 19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

# RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

#### RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology

# Fall 2018

CRN:	TMTH 0374-3G1	SNS: 90457	Instructor:	Kees, Arthur
Course Title:	Developmental Mathematics		Dept: Delivery Method:	General Education and Developm Paper
Comments or Sugge	stions:		Denvery Method.	

I ENJOY MR KEES AS MY TEACHER. HE ALWAYS GREETS ME AND HE ALWAYS HAS A SMILE ON HIS FACE. I WISH YOU COULD GIVE HIM A RAISE.

# **Construct Averages**

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

C-1 = Effective CommunicationQ1C-2 = Organization of Subject MatterQ1C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward StudentsQ1C-5 = Fairness in Exams and GradingQ2Q5 = Text & Materials ConsistentNot

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating Note: 4.00 = 80%

Instructor =	Kees,	Arthur
--------------	-------	--------

CE-Vue®

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	TMTH 0374-3G1	Paper	18	12	4.67	4.63	4.56	4.72	91.7%	95.0%	93.4%	93.4%	95.0%
GEDS	TMTH 0374-3A4	Paper	26	14	4.04	4.09	4.07	4.14	84.2%	87.3%	87.3%	82.8%	81.7%

