

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Welding Metallurgy

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1437-3A1

CRN: 90225

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11

ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.80	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.70	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.80	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.79	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.70	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.77	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.82	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.91	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.84	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.89	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.91	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.84	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.86	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.89	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.91	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						97.2%	97.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Gas Tungsten Arc(GTAW) Welding

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 1434-3A1

CRN: 90226

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 13

ENROLLMENT: 20

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.80	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	10	2	1	0	0	4.69	4.70	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	10	3	0	0	0	4.77	4.80	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.79	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	9	3	1	0	0	4.62	4.70	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.82	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.91	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	10	3	0	0	0	4.77	4.84	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.89	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.93	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.91	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.84	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.86	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.89	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	13	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.91	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						97.8%	97.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Processes

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2413-6A1

CRN: 90227

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 11

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.80	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.70	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.80	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	6	1	1	0	0	4.63	4.79	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.70	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	6	1	1	0	0	4.63	4.77	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.82	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.91	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.84	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.89	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.91	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	6	1	0	1	0	4.50	4.84	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	7	0	0	1	0	4.63	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	6	1	1	0	0	4.63	4.86	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.89	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	6	1	0	1	0	4.50	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.91	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.6%	97.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Gas Metal Arc Welding(GMAW)

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2447-6A1

CRN: 90228

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 2

ENROLLMENT: 3

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	1	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.80	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	1	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.70	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	1	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.79	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	1	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.70	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	1	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.77	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	1	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.82	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						97.4%	97.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement



# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Pipe Welding

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2406-6A1

CRN: 90229

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 13

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.80	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.70	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.80	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.79	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.70	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.77	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.82	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.86	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						98%	97.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Pipe Welding

INSTRUCTOR: McAnally, Richard

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: WLDG 2453-6A1

CRN: 90230

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 2

ENROLLMENT: 3

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	97.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

**CRN:** WLDG 1434-3A1**SNS:** 90226**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Introduction to Gas Tungsten Arc(GTAW) Welding**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

WE NEED BETTER LIGHTING IN WELDING BOOTH.

---

NEED A FAN AND BETTER LIGHTING IN THE WELDING BOOTH.

---

MORE ONE ON ONE WITH THE TEACHER IF POSSIBLE.

---

STUDY HARD AND YOU WON'T FAIL.

---

I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE BETTER LIGHTING IN BOOTHS.

---

**CRN:** WLDG 2406-6A1**SNS:** 90229**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Intermediate Pipe Welding**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

WE NEED MORE LIGHTING IN THE WELDING BOOTHS.

---

BETTER LIGHTING IN BOOTH

---

OUTSTANDING COURSE, I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS COURSE TO ANYONE.

---

NEED BETTER LIGHTING IN THE WELD BOOTH

---

FIX LIGHTING

---

**CRN:** WLDG 2413-6A1**SNS:** 90227**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Intermediate Welding Using Multiple Processes**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

BETTER LIGHTING IN THE WELDING BOOTH

---

BETTER LIGHTING IN BOOTH, BETTER CLAMPS

---

BETTER EQUIPMENT AND BETTER LIGHTING

---

BETTER LIGHTING IN THE BOOTH

---

**CRN:** WLDG 2453-6A1**SNS:** 90230**Instructor:** McAnally, Richard**Course Title:** Advanced Pipe Welding**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

GIVE MAC A RAISE \$\$\$

---

INSTRUCTOR ARE HIGHLY UNDER PAID FOR THE AMOUNT OF KNOWLEDGE THAT THEY CAN GIVE. PAY RAISE REQUIRED.



**Construct Averages****All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester****Instructor =** McAnally, Richard

C-1 = Effective Communication  
 C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter  
 C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students  
 C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading  
 Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice  
 Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class  
 Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned  
 Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating  
 Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	WLDG 1437-3A1	Paper	18	11	4.87	4.80	4.89	4.88	94.7%	100.0%	96.4%	96.4%	98.2%
TECH	WLDG 1434-3A1	Paper	20	13	4.94	4.83	4.94	4.90	92.4%	100.0%	100.0%	98.4%	98.4%
TECH	WLDG 2413-6A1	Paper	11	8	4.70	4.78	4.69	4.75	95.0%	92.6%	97.6%	95.0%	95.0%
TECH	WLDG 2447-6A1	Paper	3	2	4.70	4.80	5.00	5.00	90.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	WLDG 2406-6A1	Paper	13	8	4.83	4.88	4.97	4.96	95.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	WLDG 2453-6A1	Paper	3	2	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Average All Course Sections for This Instructor**

4.85	4.83	4.89	4.89	94.0%	98.6%	98.6%	97.8%	98.2%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------