Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: AC/DC Motor Control **INSTRUCTOR:** Hoke, Chelsea

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: INTC 1457-6B1 **CRN:** 90128 **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 13 **ENROLLMENT:** 20 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.67	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.67	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.60	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.64	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.73	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.71	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.71	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.75	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.73	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.67	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.71	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.73	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.75	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	12	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.76	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.69	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.69	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.64	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.73	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.67	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	11	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	10	3	0	0	0	4.77	4.61	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						97%	93.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Programmable Logic Controllers   **INSTRUCTOR:** Hoke, Chelsea

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: RBTC 1401-6A1   **CRN:** 90130   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 17   **ENROLLMENT:** 19   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.67	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.67	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.60	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.64	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.73	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.71	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.71	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.75	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.73	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.67	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.71	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.73	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.75	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.76	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.69	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.64	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.73	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	13	3	1	0	0	4.71	4.67	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	14	2	1	0	0	4.76	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	12	3	2	0	0	4.59	4.61	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					94.6%	93.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Programmable Logic Controllers   **INSTRUCTOR:** Hoke, Chelsea

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: RBTC 1401-6B1   **CRN:** 90131   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 12   **ENROLLMENT:** 20   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.67	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.67	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.60	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.64	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.71	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.71	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.75	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.71	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.75	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.76	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.69	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.69	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.64	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.67	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.61	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					95%	93.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TECH_ Reports   TECH_HokeChelsea_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Flow and Measurement Calibration

INSTRUCTOR: Hoke, Chelsea

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: INTC 1358-3B4   **CRN:** 90133   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 13   **ENROLLMENT:** 16   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	6	6	1	0	0	4.38	4.67	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	5	8	0	0	0	4.38	4.67	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	5	6	0	2	0	4.08	4.60	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	6	5	0	2	0	4.15	4.64	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	8	5	0	0	0	4.62	4.73	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	7	6	0	0	0	4.54	4.71	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	8	4	1	0	0	4.54	4.71	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	7	6	0	0	0	4.54	4.75	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	8	4	1	0	0	4.54	4.73	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	6	6	1	0	0	4.38	4.67	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	7	5	1	0	0	4.46	4.71	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	8	4	1	0	0	4.54	4.73	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	8	5	0	0	0	4.62	4.75	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	8	5	0	0	0	4.62	4.76	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	7	4	2	0	0	4.38	4.69	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	7	5	1	0	0	4.46	4.69	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	7	3	2	1	0	4.23	4.64	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	7	6	0	0	0	4.54	4.73	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	7	5	1	0	0	4.46	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	6	6	1	0	0	4.38	4.67	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	7	5	1	0	0	4.46	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	6	5	2	0	0	4.31	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	7	4	1	1	0	4.31	4.61	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					88.6%	93.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: INTC 1358-3B4   **SNS:** 90133   **Instructor:** Hoke, Chelsea

Course Title: Flow and Measurement Calibration

Dept: Technology
Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT TEACHER

I APPRECIATE THE CONSISTENT USE OF BLACKBOARD FOR ASSIGNMENT.

GREAT INSTRUCTOR

CRN: INTC 1457-6B1   **SNS:** 90128   **Instructor:** Hoke, Chelsea

Course Title: AC/DC Motor Control

Dept: Technology
Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MY FAVORITE TEACHER!

YEE FRIGGIN YEE

GOOD

Lamar Institute of Technology

Fall 2018

Student Comments

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT TEACHER! REALLY HELPFUL!

GREAT TEACHER!

MRS. HOKE IS AWESOME! SHE IS WILLING TO HELP, ENCOURAGE AND GUIDE YOU THROUGH ANY DIFFICULTY YOU'RE HAVING.

BEST TEACHER EVER!

AWESOME INSTRUCTOR!

GREAT JOB, MRS. HOKE !!! :)

MY FAVORITE TEACHER!

CRN: RBTC 1401-6B1 **SNS:** 90131 **Instructor:** Hoke, Chelsea

Course Title: Programmable Logic Controllers

Dept: Technology
Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GOOD

MRS. HOKE IS AWESOME.

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Hoke, Chelsea

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	INTC 1457-6B1	Paper	20	13	4.85	4.85	4.87	4.85	97.0%	97.0%	97.0%	97.0%	95.4%
TECH	RBTC 1401-6A1	Paper	19	17	4.71	4.74	4.76	4.75	94.2%	94.2%	95.2%	94.2%	91.8%
TECH	RBTC 1401-6B1	Paper	20	12	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	96.4%
TECH	INTC 1358-3B4	Paper	16	13	4.46	4.51	4.48	4.49	92.4%	89.2%	90.8%	89.2%	86.2%
Average All Course Sections for This Instructor					4.69	4.71	4.72	4.71	94.7%	93.9%	94.7%	93.9%	92.2%

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%