

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Unit Operations

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CTEC 2545-6A1

CRN: 90149

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10

ENROLLMENT: 15

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.94	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.92	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.82	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.94	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.97	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.94	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.94	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.95	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.88	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.92	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.94	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.91	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.92	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.88	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	10	0	0	0	0	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						97.4%	98.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Unit Operations

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CTEC 2545-6D1

CRN: 90152

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11

ENROLLMENT: 14

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.97	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.88	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.91	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						99.4%	98.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Process Technology

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1302-2A1

CRN: 90169

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6

ENROLLMENT: 33

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.92	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.97	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.88	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.94	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						99.4%	98.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.30
25	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.71	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.33
26	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.71	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.36
27	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.39	0.00	4.39	0.00	4.43
28	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.40
29	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.45	0.00	4.45	0.00	4.47

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I-ACC

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1332-6A1

CRN: 90170

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 12

ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.94	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.92	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.97	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.94	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.95	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.88	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.92	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	10	1	1	0	0	4.75	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.88	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						98.4%	98.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement



# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Principles of Quality

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2314-2A1

CRN: 90189

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11 ENROLLMENT: 28

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.92	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	9	1	0	0	1	4.55	4.82	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.94	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.97	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.94	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.95	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.88	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	9	1	0	1	0	4.64	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.92	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	10	1	0	0	0	4.91	4.94	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	9	1	0	1	0	4.64	4.91	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	9	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	7	4	0	0	0	4.64	4.92	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	8	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	7	4	0	0	0	4.64	4.88	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	11	0	0	0	0	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						95.6%	98.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	8	2	1	0	0	4.64	4.76	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.30
25	8	2	1	0	0	4.64	4.71	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.33
26	8	2	1	0	0	4.64	4.71	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.36
27	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.88	4.39	0.00	4.39	0.00	4.43
28	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.88	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.40
29	9	2	0	0	0	4.82	4.88	4.45	0.00	4.45	0.00	4.47

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Technology II - Systems

INSTRUCTOR: Williams-Parker, Tiffany

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2420-6B1

CRN: 90203

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 16

ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.82	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.94	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.97	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.88	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	15	0	1	0	0	4.88	4.92	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.94	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	15	0	1	0	0	4.88	4.89	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	15	0	1	0	0	4.88	4.88	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						99.6%	98.2%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

**CRN:** CTEC 2545-6A1**SNS:** 90149**Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany**Course Title:** Unit Operations**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

DOING GREAT! AWESOME JOB

---

CANNOT SAY ENOUGH POSITIVE THINGS ABOUT THIS INSTRUCTOR. YOU CAN TELL SHE REALLY CARES ABOUT YOU AND YOUR EDUCATION.

---

ONE OF THE BEST INSTRUCTORS HERE. SHE IS VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE OW WHAT'S TEACHING. TAKES PRIDE AND SHOWS INTEREST.

---

VERY HEARTWARMING, SHE CARES ABOUT US & WANTS US TO GO FAR WITH OUR LIVES. EASY TO TALK TO AND MAKES CLASS FUN. SHE IS THE RIGHT TYPE OF INSTRUCTOR TO HAVE WORKING HERE. THE ONLY BAD THING I CAN SAY IS THAT SHE DOESN'T TEACH ALL MY CLASSES, IF SO, I WOULD PROBABLY WOULD HAVE ENJOYED LIT MORE.

---

AMAZING TEACHER!!

---

WONDERFUL TEACHER!!!

---

**CRN:** CTEC 2545-6D1**SNS:** 90152**Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany**Course Title:** Unit Operations**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

EXCELLENT!!!!

WONDERFUL TEACHER OVERALL!

EXCELLENT, NEED MORE LIKE HER!!

NONE

SHE WAS MADE FOR TEACHING

SHE MAKES SURE YOU KNOW EVERYTHING BEFORE MOVING ON! VERY HELPFUL AND GREAT TEACHER!

GREAT CLASS, GOT TO MASTER THE OUTSIDE UNIT. MRS. PARKER WORKED WITH ALL OF US INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A GROUP. ENJOYED THIS CLASS IN MY LAST SEMESTER.

**CRN:** PTAC 1302-2A1**SNS:** 90169**Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany**Course Title:** Introduction to Process Technology**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

THIS PROFESSOR IS DEFINITELY AN ASSET TO NOT ONLY THIS ORGANIZATION, BUT THE STUDENTS AS WELL.

---

WONDERFUL TEACHER

**CRN:** PTAC 1332-6A1**SNS:** 90170**Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany**Course Title:** Process Instrumentation I-ACC**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

MRS. PARKER IS VERY HELPFUL AND UNDERSTANDING. SHE MAKES ME FEEL COMFORTABLE.

---

SHE IS A VERY GOOD PROFESSOR, SHE ALWAYS MAKES CLASS INTERESTING AND REALLY KNOW HOW TO KEEP THE CLASS INTERESTED. NEEDS A PAY RAISE.

---

SHE'S GREAT :)



**CRN:** PTAC 2314-2A1**SNS:** 90189**Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany**Course Title:** Principles of Quality**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

THIS INSTRUCTOR'S DESIRE TO SEE HER STUDENTS SUCCEED IS VERY MUCH EVIDENT IN HER DAILY APPROACH IN HER PREPARATION AND SUPPORT.

---

I ENJOYED THE FORMAT OF THE COURSE. EXPECTATIONS WERE CLEARLY STATED UP FRONT AND ASSESSMENTS WERE CLOSELY ALIGNED TO THE COURSES RESOURCES.

**CRN:** PTAC 2420-6B1**SNS:** 90203**Instructor:** Williams-Parker, Tiffany**Course Title:** Process Technology II - Systems**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

BEST TEACHER I HAVE HAD AT LIT!

---

MRS. PARKER IS AN EXCELLENT INSTRUCTOR. SHE IS VERY ENCOURAGING AND KNOWLEDGEABLE OF THE CLASS SHE IS TEACHING.

---

MRS. PARKER IS ONE OF MY FAVORITE TEACHERS! I LOVE COMING TO HER CLASS!

## Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Williams-Parker, Tiffany

C-1 = Effective Communication  
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter  
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students  
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading  
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice  
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class  
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned  
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating  
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	CTEC 2545-6A1	Paper	15	10	4.88	4.84	4.88	4.83	92.0%	98.1%	98.1%	94.0%	100.0%
TECH	CTEC 2545-6D1	Paper	14	11	4.98	4.95	4.98	4.94	100.0%	98.2%	98.2%	98.2%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 1302-2A1	URL/Email	33	6	5.00	4.94	4.97	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 1332-6A1	Paper	18	12	4.98	4.85	4.96	4.86	96.6%	100.0%	96.6%	95.0%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 2314-2A1	URL/Email	28	11	4.79	4.76	4.78	4.80	91.0%	92.8%	94.7%	94.7%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 2420-6B1	Paper	18	16	4.99	4.99	4.94	4.96	98.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.93	4.89	4.91	4.89	96.4%	98.2%	97.8%	97.0%	100.0%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------