

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Unit Operations

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CTEC 2545-6B1

CRN: 90150

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 13

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	4	1	2	1	0	4.00	3.61	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	3	3	1	1	0	4.00	3.66	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	5	1	2	0	0	4.38	3.48	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	5	2	0	1	0	4.38	3.30	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	3	3	2	0	0	4.13	3.57	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	6	1	0	1	0	4.50	3.45	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	6	0	2	0	0	4.50	3.76	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	3.87	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	6	1	1	0	0	4.63	3.84	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	5	1	2	0	0	4.38	3.83	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	4	1	2	0	1	3.88	3.21	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	3	3	2	0	0	4.13	3.69	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	3.80	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	6	0	2	0	0	4.50	3.81	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	3.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	5	1	1	1	0	4.25	3.71	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	5	1	1	1	0	4.25	3.75	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	3	1	3	0	1	3.63	3.62	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	3	0	3	1	1	3.38	3.27	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	3.68	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	4	0	1	1	2	3.38	3.71	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	3	1	2	1	0	3.86	3.10	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						84.6%	72.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Industrial Processes

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1354-3A1

CRN: 90165

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 29

ENROLLMENT: 31

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	12	4	7	2	4	3.62	3.61	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	12	5	6	2	4	3.66	3.66	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	10	4	6	3	6	3.31	3.48	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	8	4	9	2	6	3.21	3.30	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	9	5	8	1	6	3.34	3.57	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	8	5	7	4	5	3.24	3.45	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	12	4	6	2	5	3.55	3.76	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	14	5	3	2	5	3.72	3.87	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	14	2	6	2	5	3.62	3.84	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	15	5	2	2	5	3.79	3.83	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	8	3	6	3	9	2.93	3.21	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	9	5	6	5	4	3.34	3.69	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	13	4	4	1	7	3.52	3.80	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	11	6	7	2	3	3.69	3.81	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	11	5	7	2	4	3.59	3.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	9	6	7	4	3	3.48	3.71	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	11	5	7	1	5	3.55	3.75	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	15	4	4	3	3	3.86	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	15	4	4	1	5	3.79	3.62	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	8	3	7	5	6	3.07	3.27	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	11	4	9	0	5	3.55	3.68	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	10	6	6	3	4	3.52	3.71	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	7	5	5	6	6	3.03	3.10	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						69.6%	72.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Industrial Processes- ACC

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1354-2B1

CRN: 90173

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 7

ENROLLMENT: 30

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	3	1	0	1	3.71	3.61	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	0	5	1	0	1	3.43	3.66	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	1	4	1	0	1	3.57	3.48	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	1	3	1	0	2	3.14	3.30	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	2	3	1	0	1	3.71	3.57	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	1	3	1	1	1	3.29	3.45	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.76	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	1	3	2	1	0	3.57	3.87	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	1	4	1	1	0	3.71	3.84	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	1	4	1	0	1	3.57	3.83	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	0	5	1	1	0	3.57	3.21	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.69	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.80	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.81	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	0	3	2	1	1	3.00	3.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	0	3	3	1	0	3.29	3.71	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.75	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	2	3	1	1	0	3.86	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	0	3	2	2	0	3.14	3.62	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.27	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	0	4	1	2	0	3.29	3.68	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	0	4	2	1	0	3.43	3.71	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	0	4	1	2	0	3.29	3.10	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						68.6%	72.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	2	2	1	2	0	3.57	3.94	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.30
25	0	3	1	2	0	3.17	3.87	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.33
26	1	4	0	2	0	3.57	4.00	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.36
27	2	2	0	2	1	3.29	3.88	4.39	0.00	4.39	0.00	4.43
28	0	3	2	2	0	3.14	3.67	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.40
29	1	4	0	2	0	3.57	4.00	4.45	0.00	4.45	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Safety, Health, and Environment I

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1408-2A1

CRN: 90177

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9

ENROLLMENT: 26

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	5	2	1	1	0	4.22	3.61	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	5	3	0	1	0	4.33	3.66	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	4	3	1	1	0	4.11	3.48	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	3	4	1	0	1	3.89	3.30	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	5	3	1	0	0	4.44	3.57	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	4	3	2	0	0	4.22	3.45	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	3.76	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	3.87	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	6	2	1	0	0	4.56	3.84	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	4	4	1	0	0	4.33	3.83	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	4	3	2	0	0	4.22	3.21	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	4	3	2	0	0	4.22	3.69	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	5	2	1	1	0	4.22	3.80	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	5	2	2	0	0	4.33	3.81	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	4	3	2	0	0	4.22	3.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	4	4	1	0	0	4.33	3.71	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	5	2	1	1	0	4.22	3.75	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	4	3	1	1	0	4.11	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	5	1	2	1	0	4.11	3.62	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	5	2	1	0	1	4.11	3.27	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	5	2	1	1	0	4.22	3.68	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	4	3	1	1	0	4.11	3.71	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	4	2	2	1	0	4.00	3.10	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						85%	72.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	5	2	1	1	0	4.22	3.94	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.30
25	5	2	2	0	0	4.33	3.87	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.33
26	5	2	2	0	0	4.33	4.00	4.36	0.00	4.36	0.00	4.36
27	4	4	1	0	0	4.33	3.88	4.39	0.00	4.39	0.00	4.43
28	3	3	2	0	0	4.13	3.67	4.31	0.00	4.31	0.00	4.40
29	5	2	2	0	0	4.33	4.00	4.45	0.00	4.45	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Troubleshooting

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2446-6B1

CRN: 90210

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 33

ENROLLMENT: 39

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	12	7	5	1	3.64	3.61	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	7	16	6	3	1	3.76	3.66	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	5	13	9	3	2	3.50	3.48	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	4	10	8	8	2	3.19	3.30	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	8	14	6	2	3	3.67	3.57	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	6	13	10	3	1	3.61	3.45	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	8	17	6	2	0	3.94	3.76	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	10	13	8	2	0	3.94	3.87	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	9	17	5	2	0	4.00	3.84	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	10	17	4	1	1	4.03	3.83	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	5	9	11	3	5	3.18	3.21	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	12	14	5	2	0	4.09	3.69	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	10	16	5	2	0	4.03	3.80	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	6	20	5	1	1	3.88	3.81	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	8	14	8	2	1	3.79	3.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	7	16	9	1	0	3.88	3.71	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	7	15	9	2	0	3.82	3.75	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	15	10	5	2	0	4.19	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	11	10	8	3	1	3.82	3.62	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	5	14	8	5	1	3.52	3.27	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	9	14	7	2	1	3.85	3.68	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	10	17	5	1	0	4.09	3.71	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	3	9	8	11	1	3.06	3.10	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						75.2%	72.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Troubleshooting

INSTRUCTOR: Rodriguez, Pablo

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2446-6C1

CRN: 90211

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9

ENROLLMENT: 9

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	0	3	1	2	3	2.44	3.61	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	0	0	6	2	1	2.56	3.66	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	0	1	3	4	1	2.44	3.48	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	0	1	4	3	1	2.56	3.30	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	0	0	6	1	2	2.44	3.57	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	0	0	2	5	2	2.00	3.45	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	1	0	3	4	1	2.56	3.76	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	0	2	4	2	1	2.78	3.87	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	0	2	4	1	2	2.67	3.84	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	0	1	3	4	1	2.44	3.83	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	0	0	5	2	2	2.33	3.21	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	0	0	7	1	1	2.67	3.69	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	0	3	4	1	1	3.00	3.80	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	1	3	2	2	1	3.11	3.81	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	1	2	3	2	1	3.00	3.67	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	0	4	2	2	1	3.00	3.71	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	3	2	1	2	1	3.44	3.75	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	0	3	4	0	2	2.89	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	0	1	3	2	3	2.22	3.62	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	0	0	3	3	3	2.00	3.27	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	0	0	5	3	1	2.44	3.68	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	1	1	5	1	1	3.00	3.71	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	0	0	1	5	3	1.78	3.10	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						52%	72.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: CTEC 2545-6B1**SNS:** 90150**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Unit Operations**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

EXPLAIN!

CRN: PTAC 1354-2B1**SNS:** 90173**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Industrial Processes- ACC**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

DISCUSSION BOARD QUESTION SHOULD BE CHANGED. THEY ARE A WASTE OF TIME. BECAUSE WE HAVE ALREADY LEARNED WHAT THE DISCUSSION QUESTION IS ASKING TO WRITE ABOUT FROM THE BOOK OR THE VIDEOS OR THE POWERPOINTS

CRN: PTAC 1354-3A1

SNS: 90165

Instructor: Rodriguez, Pablo

Course Title: Industrial Processes

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

VERY DISORGANIZED, AND REQUIRES LESS CLASSES TO TEACH. HE IS GREAT WHEN HE ISN'T PRE-OCCUPIED.

N/A

BY FAR THE WORSE PROFESSOR I'VE EVER HAD. HE TAKES THINGS TO PERSONALLY. NEEDS TO RETIRE.

THROW IN THE TOWEL AND RETIRE.

NOT A BAD GUY, HE MEANS WELL. SEEMS TO BE A BIT CONFUSED. NEEDS TO SPEAK LOUDER, SOUND LIKE HE IS MUMBLING. NEEDS TO BE MORE UNDERSTANDING & TRY TO LISTEN WHEN WE ARE ASKING A QUESTION ABOUT A TEST, INSTEAD OF BEING ANNOYED & DEFENSIVE.

LEAVE PERSONAL ISSUES AT HOME. GOOD INSTRUCTOR OVERALL.

NEEDS TO RETIRE

NEEDS TO RETIRE, HAS GOOD INTENTIONS BUT HE JUST CAN'T TEACH IN AN EFFECTIVE MANOR. THE MAN IS BEYOND NEEDING IMPROVEMENT. HE NEEDS TO STEP DOWN OR YALL NEED TO HAVE MORE INSTRUCTORS TEACHING HIS SUBJECTS. EVEN OTHER TEACHERS ARE AWARE OF HOW HORRIBLE HE IS.

I REALLY HATE THIS CLASS! :) :(OTHER TEACHER AGREE THAT HIS TEACHING SKILLS HAVE DECLINED MAJORLY. HE SHOULD RETIRE AND ENJOY LIFE!!:)

P-ROD DID IT

GOES ON TANGENTS OFTEN, NOT ALWAYS CLEAR WITH LESSONS.

P-ROD IS MY DAWG!!! RUFF RUFF

CRN: PTAC 1408-2A1**SNS:** 90177**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Safety, Health, and Environment I**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

I DON'T LIKE THIS CLASS VERY MUCH. NOT JUST BECAUSE OF A LACK OF INTEREST, BUT THE WAY HE HAS IT SET UP. IT'S MORE OF THE FACT THAT HE JUST HANDS US A SUBJECT FOR A PAPER AND TELLS US TO DO IT WITHOUT US EVEN HAVING GONE OVER IT OR EVEN LEAD UP TO TALKING ABOUT IT. NO CHAPTER NUMBERS, NO DISCUSSION BOARDS, OR ANYTHING FOR THAT MATTER.

CRN: PTAC 2446-6B1**SNS:** 90210**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Process Troubleshooting**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

COULD EXPLAIN MORE THOROUGHLY

REALLY NEEDS A BETTER TEST REVIEW. SHOULD LET US USE NOTES

DECENT TEACHER, CARES ABOUT HIS STUDENTS, JUST DIFFICULT TO LEARN FROM AT TIMES

ONE TIME THE PIZZA GUY DIDN'T GIVE ME THE PROPER CHANGE I HAD TO CALL THE PAPA JOHN'S AND MAKE A COMPLAINT. THE MANAGER JUST SAID,"WHAT WOULD JESUS DO?" AND HUNG UP.

THE ABSENTEE POLICY IS BAD

GOES OFF TOPIC OFTEN

MORE TEACHING LESS TALKING

GIVE EXAMPLES AND EXPLAIN WORK GIVEN CLEARLY. MY EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN THAT HE SAYS DO HOMEWORK AND NO ONE KNOWS WHAT TO DO UNTIL THE NEXT CLASS ONCE HE EXPLAINS IT.

NEEDS TO EXPLAIN MORE CLEARLY & THOROUGHLY

CRN: PTAC 2446-6C1**SNS:** 90211**Instructor:** Rodriguez, Pablo**Course Title:** Process Troubleshooting**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

.....

NOT PATIENT, DOESN'T EXPLAIN WELL, RUDE

.....

.....

HE MEANS GOOD, BUT HIS TEACHING METHODS ARE VERY WEAK AND HARD TO UNDERSTAND. NEEDS TO CHANGE TEACHING METHODS OR RETIRE.

.....

.....

NEEDS TO RETIRE

.....

.....

MEANS WELL, NUT VERY HARD TO GRASP.

.....

.....

NEUTRAL

.....

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Rodriguez, Pablo

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	CTEC 2545-6B1	Paper	13	8	4.13	4.28	4.19	4.13	82.6%	85.0%	95.0%	72.6%	77.2%
TECH	PTAC 1354-3A1	Paper	31	29	3.39	3.48	3.58	3.56	66.8%	69.6%	77.2%	75.8%	60.6%
TECH	PTAC 1354-2B1	URL/Email	30	7	3.45	3.64	3.31	3.25	74.2%	65.8%	77.2%	62.8%	65.8%
TECH	PTAC 1408-2A1	URL/Email	26	9	4.30	4.33	4.24	4.17	88.9%	86.6%	82.2%	82.2%	80.0%
TECH	PTAC 2446-6B1	Paper	39	33	3.72	3.76	3.95	3.92	73.4%	77.7%	83.9%	76.4%	61.2%
TECH	PTAC 2446-6C1	Paper	9	9	2.40	2.58	3.03	2.44	48.8%	60.0%	57.8%	44.5%	35.6%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

3.57	3.66	3.75	3.67	71.4%	74.2%	79.7%	72.4%	62.0%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------