Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Digital Applications INSTRUCTOR:								Hargrave, Minus				
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CETT 1415-6A1 CRN: 90114 DEPARTMENT: Technology NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES						J y						
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLI MENT:	19		DELIVERY ME	_{тнор} . Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018				

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:	14	ENROLLME	NT: 19	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	Paper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: FO	all 2018				
						AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR										
STATE- MENT		FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES		THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS	THIS	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS	COLLEGE- WIDE	COLLEGE- WIDE				
	5	4	3	2	1		INSTRUCTOR	DEPARTMENT	DEPT-PAPER	DEPT-ONLINE	PAPER	ONLINE				
1	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	3.81	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42				
2	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.00	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47				
3	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	3.87	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33				
4	9	4	0	1	0	4.50	3.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19				
5	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.02	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42				
6	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	3.83	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35				
7	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.04	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44				
8	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.26	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48				
9	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.26	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43				
10	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44				
11	9	2	3	0	0	4.43	3.68	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37				
12	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	3.83	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42				
13	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	3.87	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40				
14	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	3.96	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44				
15	9	2	2	1	0	4.36	3.74	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37				
16	9	4	0	1	0	4.50	3.47	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45				
17	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.17	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41				
18	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.15	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39				
19	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.21	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43				
20	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	3.38	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30				
21	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.13	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41				
22	9	3	1	1	0	4.43	3.38	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36				
23	9	3	1	1	0	4.43	3.60	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48				
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	91.6%	77.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%				
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065 Copyright © RBW (2004). All rights reserved.

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Digital Applications INSTRUCTOR: Hargrave, Minus										
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	CETT 1415-6B1		CRN:	90115	DEPARTMENT:	Technology			
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	17	ENROLI MENT:	19		DELIVERY ME	THOD: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR:	Fall 2018	

COMPLET	TED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLMEI	NT:19	DELIVERY METHOD:raper SEMESTER & YEAR:ran 2016									
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	THIS STATEMENT FOR					
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE			
1	4	4	3	2	4	3.12	3,81	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42			
2	6	4	3	0	4	3.47	4.00	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47			
3	5	5	1	1	5	3.24	3.87	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33			
4	5	5	1	1	5	3.24	3.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19			
5	7	3	2	0	5	3.41	4.02	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42			
6	4	4	3	0	6	3.00	3.83	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35			
7	7	3	2	1	4	3.47	4.04	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44			
8	8	2	4	0	3	3.71	4.26	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48			
9	7	4	3	0	3	3.71	4.26	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43			
10	7	2	2	1	5	3.29	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44			
11	5	2	1	3	6	2.82	3.68	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37			
12	8	0	2	1	6	3.18	3.83	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42			
13	8	1	1	0	7	3.18	3.87	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40			
14	7	2	2	0	6	3.24	3.96	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44			
15	7	3	1	1	5	3.35	3.74	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37			
16	4	2	3	1	7	2.71	3.47	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45			
17	6	5	2	0	4	3.53	4.17	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41			
18	7	4	2	0	4	3.59	4.15	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39			
19	8	4	1	0	4	3.71	4.21	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43			
20	3	3	2	1	8	2.53	3.38	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30			
21	7	3	3	0	4	3.53	4.13	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41			
22	5	2	2	0	8	2.76	3.38	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36			
23	4	3	2	4	4	2.94	3.60	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48			
	Ove	rall Column	Average (ii	n percentag	e %)	65%	77.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065 Copyright © RBW (2004). All rights reserved.

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Digital Applications								NSTRUCTOR: Hargrave, Minus					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	CETT 1415-6C1		CRN:	90116	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog) Y					
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	16	ENROLLMENT:	19		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 20)18				

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 16 ENROLLMENT: 19						DELIVERY METHOD: Paper SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018								
							Α\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR				
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE		
1	4	8	3	1	0	3.94	3,81	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42		
2	4	8	4	0	0	4.00	4.00	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47		
3	6	6	1	3	0	3.94	3.87	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33		
4	6	5	5	0	0	4.06	3.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19		
5	6	6	4	0	0	4.13	4.02	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42		
6	5	6	4	1	0	3.94	3.83	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35		
7	6	6	4	0	0	4.13	4.04	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44		
8	9	5	2	0	0	4.44	4.26	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48		
9	9	6	1	0	0	4.50	4.26	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43		
10	7	4	4	1	0	4.06	3.98	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44		
11	6	4	5	1	0	3.94	3.68	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37		
12	5	5	6	0	0	3.94	3.83	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42		
13	5	6	5	0	0	4.00	3.87	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40		
14	7	4	5	0	0	4.13	3.96	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44		
15	6	3	3	3	1	3.63	3.74	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37		
16	5	4	2	2	3	3.38	3.47	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45		
17	8	6	2	0	0	4.38	4.17	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41		
18	7	6	3	0	0	4.25	4.15	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39		
19	8	5	3	0	0	4.31	4.21	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43		
20	4	5	2	2	3	3.31	3.38	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30		
21	7	7	2	0	0	4.31	4.13	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41		
22	5	3	2	1	5 0	3.13	3.38	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36		
23	2	6	4	2		3.57	3.60	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48		
- 04				n percentag		79.6%	77.8%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%		
24 25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
28	0		ļ	-		0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
23	U	U	U	U	U	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065 Copyright © RBW (2004). All rights reserved.

Lamar Institute of Technology

Fall 2018

Student Comments

CRN: CETT 1415-6B1 SNS: 90115 Instructor: Hargrave, Minus

Course Title: Digital Applications Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

DEDUCTS 5 POINTS FOR QUESTION ON ASSIGNMENTS AND DOESN'T ANSWER THE QUESTIONS. DISCOURAGES QUESTIONS AND ACTS ARROGANT.

DIDN'T LIKE HIM AT ALL. CLASS WAS HARD ENOUGH TO UNDERSTAND AND HIM ACTING LIKE HE DID DIDN'T HELP. I STILL DON'T UNDERSTAND A THING IN HERE AND I CAN'T ASK QUESTIONS BECAUSE HE DEDUCTS 5 POINTS AND THEN ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION WITH A QUESTION TO YOU. STILL LEAVES ME CLUELESS. I'LL NEVER TAKE HIM AGAIN.

MR. HARGRAVE NEEDS SOME SERIOUS IMPROVEMENT. THIS INSTRUCTOR HAS BEEN MY WORST SO FAR AND I WOULD BE EMBARRASSED IF I WERE HIM. THANKS FOR ALL OF YOUR HELP DUDE!!!!

DOES NOT HELP WHEN YOU HAVE A QUESTION. ALL HE DOES IS TALK ABOUT FOOD, TESTS US OVER THINGS WE HAVE NOT GONE OVER.

TALKS ABOUT FOOD MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE, DOESN'T REALLY HELP WHEN ASKING HIM FOR IT.

NEEDS TO OFFER MORE HELP.

THIS INSTRUCTOR APPEARS KNOWLEDGEABLE, HOWEVER HIS DELIVERY OF COURSE OBJECTIVES ARE VERY BRIEF AND UNCLEAR. HE DOES NOT ENCOURAGE CLASS ASKING QUESTIONS, HOWEVER, SEVERAL STUDENTS HAVE BEEN YELLED AT AND EMBARRASSED BY HARGRAVE, FOR ASKING QUESTIONS.

ONE OF THE ONLY CLASSES IN MY LIFE WHERE I'M AFRAID TO ASK QUESTIONS. HE HAD GONE OFF ON PEOPLE MULTIPLE TIMES FOR ASKING SIMPLE CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS. OFTEN RESPONDS TO OTHER QUESTIONS WITH RIDDLES. OTHER THAN THAT HE IS FINE.

VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE OF FIELD AND STUDY. EXPLAINS THINGS WELL BUT OFTEN TIMES TOO FAST.

A+++ PROFESSOR.

Lamar Institute of Technology

Fall 2018

Student Comments

CRN: CETT 1415-6C1

SNS: 90116

Instructor:

Hargrave, Minus

Course Title:

Digital Applications

Dept: Tec

Technology

Delivery Method:

Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

DOES NOT TAKE OR ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS

NEEDS TO WORK ON ATTITUDE AND ACTUALLY TEACHING STUDENTS. ITS A SHAME WE PAY ALL THIS MONEY TO LEARN THE SUBJECTS AND HE REFUSED TO HELP US OR TEACH.

NEEDS TO BE MOR CONSIDERATE WHEN A STUDENT ASKS A QUESTION. HAS A BAD TONE OF VOICE SOMETIMES. VERY SMART.

SOMEONE NEEDS TO TEACH THIS DUDE HOW TO TEACH.

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Hargrave, Minus

C-1 = Effective Communication

C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter

C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students

C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent Q16 = Available for Help and Advice

Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class

Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating

Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	CETT 1415-6A1	Paper	19	14	4.57	4.61	4.50	4.62	92.8%	90.0%	94.2%	94.2%	88.6%
TECH	CETT 1415-6B1	Paper	19	17	2.96	3.40	3.13	3.47	68.2%	54.2%	71.8%	74.2%	58.8%
TECH	CETT 1415-6C1	Paper	19	16	3.74	4.16	3.72	4.19	82.6%	67.6%	85.0%	86.2%	71.4%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

3.71

4.02

3.74

4.06

80.4%

69.4%

83.0%

84.2%

72.0%

Fall 2018

CE-Vue from College Survey Services, Inc. - 800-755-9065

Color Code =

Less than 3.0 Less than 60% 3.0 to 4.0 60% to 80% More than 4.0 More than 80% Page 64 of 177