Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Web Design I **INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: IMED 1316-1A1 **CRN:** 90029 **DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5 **ENROLLMENT:** 10 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	2	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	2	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	1	3	1	0	0	4.00	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	2	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	2	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					90.2%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Programming Fundamentals I   **INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: COSC 1436-1A1   **CRN:** 90031   **DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4   **ENROLLMENT:** 9   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	2	0	2	0	0	4.00	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	2	0	2	0	0	4.00	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	3	0	1	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	3	0	1	0	0	4.50	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	3	0	1	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	2	0	2	0	0	4.00	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	3	0	1	0	0	4.50	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						87.8%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Business Computer Applications **INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: BCIS 1305-3B1 **CRN:** 90032 **DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14 **ENROLLMENT:** 23 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**							
1	7	6	1	0	0	4.43	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	5	8	1	0	0	4.29	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	6	7	1	0	0	4.36	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4.64**	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	9	3	1	1	0	4.43	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	**10**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4.71**	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	**9**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4.69**	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						91.4%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Business Computer Applications **INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: BCIS 1305-3A1   **CRN:** 90033   **DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5   **ENROLLMENT:** 20   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	3	1	0	1	0	4.20	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					94%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Business Computer Applications　　　　　**INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: BCIS 1305-2A1　　**CRN:** 90036　　**DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10　　**ENROLLMENT:** 27　　**DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email　　**SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	4	5	1	0	0	4.30	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	5	3	1	0	0	4.44	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	6	4	0	0	0	4.60	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	6	0	4	0	0	4.20	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	4	4	2	0	0	4.20	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	5	3	1	1	0	4.20	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	5	2	3	0	0	4.20	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	6	3	1	0	0	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	5	4	0	1	0	4.30	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					87.2%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	5	3	2	0	0	4.30	4.27	4.19	0.00	4.19	0.00	4.30
25	4	4	2	0	0	4.20	4.27	4.18	0.00	4.18	0.00	4.33
26	5	3	1	1	0	4.20	4.33	4.14	0.00	4.14	0.00	4.36
27	4	3	2	1	0	4.00	4.13	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.43
28	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.47	4.33	0.00	4.33	0.00	4.40
29	4	6	0	0	0	4.40	4.47	4.39	0.00	4.39	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Internship - Computer Information Science, Genera   **INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ITSC 2286-7A1   **CRN:** 90037   **DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 1   **ENROLLMENT:** 1   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Database   **INSTRUCTOR:** Stoudemayer, Linda

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ITSW 1307-2A1   **CRN:** 90042   **DEPARTMENT:** Business Technologies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5   **ENROLLMENT:** 18   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.50	4.59	4.63	4.36	4.58	4.42
2	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.62	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.47
3	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.55	4.58	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	2	0	3	0	0	3.80	4.36	4.50	4.56	4.17	4.41	4.19
5	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.43	4.60	4.64	4.40	4.57	4.42
6	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.56	4.60	4.66	4.25	4.55	4.35
7	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.36	4.60	4.44
8	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.59	4.73	4.79	4.43	4.72	4.48
9	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.52	4.62	4.68	4.36	4.65	4.43
10	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.52	4.68	4.74	4.34	4.69	4.44
11	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.43	4.60	4.66	4.35	4.56	4.37
12	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.65	4.72	4.33	4.62	4.42
13	2	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.63	4.40
14	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	4.36	4.66	4.74	4.25	4.65	4.44
15	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.48	4.63	4.71	4.22	4.60	4.37
16	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.64	4.70	4.76	4.38	4.64	4.45
17	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.50	4.64	4.69	4.38	4.61	4.41
18	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.41	4.65	4.72	4.31	4.67	4.39
19	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.66	4.65	4.69	4.44	4.61	4.43
20	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.18	4.53	4.30
21	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.66	4.63	4.69	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.48	4.59	4.67	4.23	4.58	4.36
23	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.56	4.67	4.74	4.35	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						88.2%	89.8%	92.2%	93.8%	86.2%	92%	88%
24	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.27	4.19	0.00	4.19	0.00	4.30
25	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.27	4.18	0.00	4.18	0.00	4.33
26	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.33	4.14	0.00	4.14	0.00	4.36
27	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.13	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.43
28	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.47	4.33	0.00	4.33	0.00	4.40
29	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.47	4.39	0.00	4.39	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: BCIS 1305-2A1   **SNS:** 90036   **Instructor:** Stoudemayer, Linda

Course Title: Business Computer Applications

Dept: Business Technologies

Delivery Method: URL/Email

Comments or Suggestions:

WHEN A STUDENT EMAILS THE INSTRUCTOR, I BELIEVE THE RESPONSE TIME SHOULD BE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; NOT TWO, THREE OR EVEN A WEEK LATER. ESPECIALLY IS THE STUDENT IS HAVING TROUBLE IN THE CLASS; THIS COULD PREVENT THEM FROM POSSIBLY FAILING. I AM VERY DISAPPOINTED.

CRN: BCIS 1305-3A1   **SNS:** 90033   **Instructor:** Stoudemayer, Linda

Course Title: Business Computer Applications

Dept: Business Technologies

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MS STOUDEMAYER IS A GREAT TEACHER AND VERY [ILLEGIBLE] CLASS

CRN: COSC 1436-1A1   **SNS:** 90031   **Instructor:** Stoudemayer, Linda

Course Title: Programming Fundamentals I

Dept: Business Technologies

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

YOU ARE A GREAT PROFESSOR! THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HELPING ME THROUGH THIS SEMESTER!

CRN: IMED 1316-1A1

SNS: 90029

Instructor: Stoudemayer, Linda

Course Title: Web Design I

Dept: Business Technologies

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT TEACHER!

AMAZING

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages
All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = **Stoudemayer, Linda**

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
BSTC	IMED 1316-1A1	Paper	10	5	4.64	4.40	4.35	4.60	88.1%	92.0%	84.1%	88.1%	92.0%
BSTC	COSC 1436-1A1	Paper	9	4	4.30	4.35	4.56	4.33	90.0%	90.0%	80.0%	90.0%	85.0%
BSTC	BCIS 1305-3B1	Paper	23	14	4.57	4.54	4.61	4.69	87.3%	92.8%	94.2%	94.2%	93.9%
BSTC	BCIS 1305-3A1	Paper	20	5	4.76	4.52	4.55	4.80	92.0%	100.0%	84.1%	96.0%	96.0%
BSTC	BCIS 1305-2A1	URL/Email	27	10	4.49	4.32	4.18	4.50	86.0%	92.0%	86.0%	94.0%	86.0%
BSTC	ITSC 2286-7A1	Paper	1	1	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
BSTC	ITSW 1307-2A1	URL/Email	18	5	4.43	4.50	4.28	4.55	92.0%	88.1%	88.1%	92.0%	92.0%

| **Average All Course Sections for This Instructor** | | | | | 4.55 | 4.46 | 4.42 | 4.60 | 88.6% | 92.8% | 88.2% | 93.2% | 91.2% |

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%